

January 11, 2019

**ADDENDUM #1:  
REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS  
For:  
LOTICIP TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERING SERVICES**

**Questions**

The following questions were submitted in writing to CRCOG prior to the January 11, 2019 2 p.m. E.T. question deadline (additional addenda will be prepared for any additional questions that meet these criteria):

**Question:** Section IV, Subsection “Minimum Requirements”, Item 3 states that GSA Standard Form 330 Part II for the Consulting firm is to be submitted as part of the response. Is Part II of Form 330 the only Part required, or does Part I also need to be submitted?

**Answer:** Only GSA 330 Part II is required. Responses should be in the format listed in the RFQ. GSA 330 Part I can also be included in the response, but it is not required.

**Question:** On page 7, under “Minimum Requirements”, item #3, an SF 330 Form Part 2 is noted as a requirement. Is the Part 1 form not required? Just wanted to verify.

**Answer:** Only GSA 330 Part II is required. Responses should be in the format listed in the RFQ. GSA 330 Part I can also be included in the response, but it is not required.

**Question:** I had a quick question about pages 4 and 5 from the LOTICIP RFQ. Page 4 suggests that consultants chosen for List 3 ARE ALLOWED to submit for any LOTICIP project, but need to inform CRCOG of such. (This is new language to the RFQ.) Page 5 suggests that consultants chosen for List 3 ARE NOT ALLOWED to submit for any LOTICIP project.

**Answer: Page 4:** Consultants chosen for the On-Call 3 list may contract with municipalities to provide professional engineering services on LOTICIP funded projects, however CRCOG would need to be informed because of potential work conflicts when assigning on-board reviews with the On-Call 3 consultants.

**Page 5:** If a consultant firm is chosen for CRCOG’s On-Call 3 consultant, they may not be selected for the On-Call List 1 or 2 posted on CRCOG’s website.