**LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW TOOL**

**Capitol Region Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan Update**

The *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool* demonstrates how the Local Mitigation Plan meets the regulation in 44 CFR §201.6 and offers States and FEMA Mitigation Planners an opportunity to provide feedback to the community.

* The Regulation Checklist provides a summary of FEMA’s evaluation of whether the Plan has addressed all requirements.
* The Plan Assessment identifies the plan’s strengths as well as documents areas for future improvement.
* The Multi-jurisdiction Summary Sheet is an optional worksheet that can be used to document how each jurisdiction met the requirements of the each Element of the Plan (Planning Process; Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment; Mitigation Strategy; Plan Review, Evaluation, and Implementation; and Plan Adoption).

The FEMA Mitigation Planner must reference this *Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide* when completing the *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool*.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Jurisdictions**: Andover, Avon, Berlin, Bloomfield, Bolton, Canton, Columbia, Coventry, East Granby, East Hartford, East Windsor, Ellington, Enfield, Farmington, Glastonbury, Granby, Hartford, Hebron, Manchester, Mansfield, Marlborough, New Britain, Newington, Plainville, Rocky Hill, Simsbury, Somers, South Windsor, Southington, Stafford, Suffield, Tolland, Vernon, West Hartford, Wethersfield, Willington, Windsor, Windsor Locks | **Title of Plan:** Capitol Region Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan Update | **Date of Plan:** 2019 |
| **Single or Multi-jurisdiction plan?**  Multi-Jurisdiction | **New Plan or Plan Update?** Update |
| **Local Point of Contact:** Lynne Pike DiSanto, AICPlpikedisanto@crcog.org; (860) 522-2217 x 4211Capitol Region Council of Governments241 Main Street, 4th Floor, Hartford, CT. 06106**Consultant POC:** David Murphy, P.E., CFMMilone & MacBroom, Inc. 99 Realty Drive, Cheshire, CT 06410203-271-1773 - DMurphy@mminc.com | **Local Points of Contact:** Section 3 this review tool contains contact information for participating jurisdictions |
| **State Reviewer:** Kenneth Dumais Jonathan Hartenbaum | **Title & Email:** SHMO – Kenneth.Dumais@ct.govR3 Planner – Jonathan.Hartenbaum@ct.gov | **Date: 2/7/19** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **FEMA Reviewer:**Parker D. MooreMelissa Surette | **Title:**FEMA Community PlannerFEMA Senior Planner | **Date:**2/27/201911/7/19 |
| **Date Received in FEMA Region I** | 2/27/2019 |
| **Plan Not Approved** |  |
| **Plan Approvable Pending Adoption** | 3/12/2019 |
| **Plan Approved** | 11/7/19 |

**SECTION 1: REGULATION CHECKLIST**

**INSTRUCTIONS:** The Regulation Checklist must be completed by FEMA. The purpose of the Checklist is to identify the location of relevant or applicable content in the Plan by Element/sub-element and to determine if each requirement has been ‘Met’ or ‘Not Met.’ The ‘Required Revisions’ summary at the bottom of each Element must be completed by FEMA to provide a clear explanation of the revisions that are required for plan approval. Required revisions must be explained for each plan sub-element that is ‘Not Met.’ Sub-elements should be referenced in each summary by using the appropriate numbers (A1, B3, etc.), where applicable. Requirements for each Element and sub-element are described in detail in this *Plan Review Guide* in Section 4, Regulation Checklist.

| **1.** **REGULATION CHECKLIST** | **Location in Plan****(section and/or** **page number)** | **Met** | **Not Met** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Regulation** (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans) |
| **ELEMENT A. PLANNING PROCESS**  |
| A1. Does the Plan document the planning process, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(1)) | MJ Plan: Acknowledgments; Changes to Planning Process and Plan Document; Executive Summary Introduction; Section IV: Planning Process; Appendix F & G. | **X** |  |
| A2. Does the Plan document an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, agencies that have the authority to regulate development as well as other interests to be involved in the planning process? (Requirement §201.6(b)(2)) | MJ Plan: Section IV: Planning Process, Subsection “Coordination with Neighboring Communities and Other Agencies” (p. IV:14-15) | **X** |  |
| A3. Does the Plan document how the public was involved in the planning process during the drafting stage? (Requirement §201.6(b)(1)) | MJ Plan: Section IV: Planning Process, Subsection “Public Participation for 2019 Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update” (p. IV:4-13) | **X** |  |
| A4. Does the Plan describe the review and incorporation of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information? (Requirement §201.6(b)(3)) | MJ Plan: Section III: Mitigation Strategies, Subsections “Existing Capabilities and Strategies” and “Summary of Effectiveness of Existing Strategies, Policies, Programs and Resources” (p. III:3-29); Section IV: Planning Process, Subsection “Municipal Plans Review/Update for 2019 Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update” (p.IV:2-3)Annexes: “Capabilities” Section of each Annex | **X** |  |
| A5. Is there discussion of how the community(ies) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii)) | MJ Plan: Section V: Plan Implementation and Maintenance, particularly subsection “Plan Updates”(p.V:5) | **X** |  |
| A6. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current (monitoring, evaluating and updating the mitigation plan within a 5-year cycle)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i)) | MJ Plan: Section V: Plan Implementation and Maintenance, particularly subsection “Plan Updates”(p.V:5) | **X** |  |
| **ELEMENT A: REQUIRED REVISIONS** I  |
| **ELEMENT B. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT**  |
| B1. Does the Plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect each jurisdiction(s)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i)) | MJ Plan: Section II (Hazard Evaluation) identifies hazards. Each hazard section within Section II describes that hazard’s location and extent in subsections titled “Location” and “Extent” (starting on pages 15, 22, 50, 58, 72, 82, 88, 98, and 104 of Section II). Subsection “Hazards Summary,” beginning on p. II:108, summarizes vulnerable locations for each hazard.Annexes: The “Challenges Overview” subsection of each municipal annex notes key hazards, and their locations and extents. | **X** |  |
| B2. Does the Plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i)) | MJ Plan: Section II (Hazard Evaluation) identifies hazards. Each hazard section within Section II describes previous occurrences of that hazard in subsections titled “Previous Occurrences”; Probability of future events is described in subsections titled “Probability.” Subsection “Hazards Summary,” beginning on p. II:108, summarizes event frequency for each hazard.Annexes: The “Challenges” section of each municipal annex notes previous occurrences of note for some key hazards in the “Challenges Overview” subsections; historic losses due to different hazard events are summarized in the “Hazard Losses” subsections. | **X** |  |
| B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard’s impact on the community as well as an overall summary of the community’s vulnerability for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)) | MJ Plan: Section II (Hazard Evaluation) identifies hazards. Each hazard section within Section II describes the impact to the community from that hazard in subsections titled “Impacts to Community Assets.” Subsection “Hazards Summary,” beginning on p. II:108, summarizes potential impacts and economic loss sources for each hazard.Annexes: The “Challenges” section of each municipal annex notes vulnerabilities identified by each community, as well as previous occurrences and historic losses due to different hazard events. | **X** |  |
| B4. Does the Plan address NFIP insured structures within the jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)) | MJ Plan: The “Floods” hazard section within Section II addresses RL properties in subsection “Impacts to Community Assets” (beginning on p. II:43). Table 17 on page II:45 summarizes RL claims in each community since 1982. The regional challenge of appropriately addressing RL properties is described on page III:38 and in Factsheet 16 on page III:40.Annexes: Within the “Challenges” section of each municipal annex is a “Hazard Losses” subsection that contains a summary of “Historic FEMA Payments.” Each “Historic FEMA Payments” summary describes NFIP claims and RL properties. Mitigation actions specifically relating to RL properties are listed for each municipality that has RL properties. | **X** |  |
| **ELEMENT B: REQUIRED REVISIONS**  |
| **ELEMENT C. MITIGATION STRATEGY** |
| C1. Does the plan document each jurisdiction’s existing authorities, policies, programs and resources and its ability to expand on and improve these existing policies and programs? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)) | MJ Plan: Section III: Mitigation Strategies described existing capabilities in Subsections “Our Capabilities for Implementing Mitigation Actions,” and “Existing Capabilities and Strategies,” (pages III:1-29)Annexes: “Capabilities” Section of each Annex | **X** |  |
| C2. Does the Plan address each jurisdiction’s participation in the NFIP and continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii)) | MJ Plan: Section III: Mitigation Strategies described existing capabilities in Subsection “Existing Capabilities and Strategies,” (pages III:4-6)Annexes: Each municipality’s annex has a “Capabilities” section, which often described NFIP participation and floodplain regulations. Each “Hazard Losses” subsection that contains a summary of “Historic FEMA Payments.” | **X** |  |
| C3. Does the Plan include goals to reduce/avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i)) | MJ Plan: Section III: Mitigation Strategies describes regional and municipal goals in subsections “Regional Goals, Objectives and Strategic Actions” (p. III:49) and “Municipal Goals, Objectives and Strategic Actions.” (p. III:56). These are also described in the “Mitigation Strategy” section of the Executive Summary. Mitigation action categories are also described on page III:58.Annexes: Mitigation actions are listed in the “Active Mitigation Strategies and Actions” section of each annex. Each action is categorized by “Goal” and “Category.” | **X** |  |
| C4. Does the Plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each jurisdiction being considered to reduce the effects of hazards, with emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii)) | MJ Plan: Section III: Mitigation Strategies includes a section titled “Existing Capabilities and Strategies,” which describes a range of hazard mitigation strategies implemented across the region. Regional actions being pursued during this planning period are discussed in the “New Mitigation Initiatives” (p. III:43) and “Regional Goals, Objectives, and Strategic Actions” (p. III:43) sections. Municipal actions being pursued during this planning period are summarized in the “Municipal Goals, Objectives, and Strategic Actions” section (p. III:55-67)Annexes: Each “Mitigation Strategies and Actions” section lists actions and projects each municipality has considered, pursued in the past, and is actively pursuing during this planning period. | **X** |  |
| C5. Does the Plan contain an action plan that describes how the actions identified will be prioritized (including cost benefit review), implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv)); (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iii)) | MJ Plan: Section V: Plan Implementation and Maintenance. “Bringing the Plan to Life” describes a timeline, “Strategy Implementation” and “Implementation Monitoring” describe implementation. Section III: Mitigation Strategies. “Analysis of Mitigation Actions” describes the action prioritization method. Appendix E contains the STAPLEE: this lists all actions for all municipalities, prioritized, with potential benefits and costs, implementation timeframes, general cost estimates, and responsible departments.Annexes: “Active Mitigation Strategies and Actions” of each annex lists actions, prioritized, along with cost estimates, lead department, and timeframe. | **X** |  |
| C6. Does the Plan describe a process by which local governments will integrate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii)) | MJ Plan: The degree to which hazard mitigation is already incorporated into municipal POCDs is listed on pages III:12-13). Regional Goals 1.1, 2.3, and 4.1 (pages III:53-55) specifically address integration of hazard mitigation into municipal and regional plans and regulations. Municipal Goals 2 and 3 (p. III:57) specifically address integration of hazard mitigation into institutional frameworks. On page V:3, the plan specifically notes the intention of CRCOG to assist municipalities with integrating hazard mitigation into their POCDs as the latter are updated.Annexes: The degree to which hazard mitigation is already incorporated into municipal planning documents, and specifically the POCD, is listed in the “Capabilities” section of each annex. Most municipalities include specific actions to incorporate this plan into other planning mechanisms. | **X** |  |
| **ELEMENT C: REQUIRED REVISIONS**  |
| **ELEMENT D. PLAN REVIEW, EVALUATION, AND IMPLEMENTATION** (applicable to plan updates only) |
| D1. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3)) | MJ Plan: Section I, subsection “Development Trends.” Subsections “Population and Housing” and “Land Use” also reflect changes.Annexes: “Community Overview” section discusses new development. “Critical Facilities” presents changes in those facilities, which is sometimes connected to new development. | **X** |  |
| D2. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3)) | MJ Plan: Existing regional Capabilities are described in the “Existing Capabilities and Strategies” section of Section III (begins on p. III:3). A suite of mitigation successes and new initiatives is presented on Factsheets 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, and 21).Annexes: Changes in capabilities are described in the “New Capabilities” sections of each annex. The “Status of Previous Mitigation Strategies and Actions” section of each annex reviews the prior mitigation actions and provides commentary about the progress of each. Where additional progress is needed, or revisions to the action are necessary, this is noted. When applicable, progress in local mitigation efforts is listed in the “Critical Facilities” section of each annex. | **X** |  |
| D3. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3)) | MJ Plan: Pages iii-iv describe changes to the plan since the previous version.Section III subsections “New Mitigation Initiatives,” “Regional Goals, Objectives and Strategic Actions,” and “Municipal Goals, Objectives and Strategic Actions” describe changes in regional and municipal priorities. Section IV describes changes in priorities discussed during data collection.Annexes: “Noted Hazard Mitigation Needs” describes new priorities municipalities noted during meetings. | **X** |  |
| **ELEMENT D: REQUIRED REVISIONS** |
| **ELEMENT E. PLAN ADOPTION** |
| E1. Does the Plan include documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5)) | Resolutions are provided for each municipality in Appendix H. Signature lines are provided for the chief elected official and the town, city, or borough clerk. | **X** |  |
| E2. For multi-jurisdictional plans, has each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan documented formal plan adoption? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5)) |  | **X** |  |
| **ELEMENT E: REQUIRED REVISIONS****E1/E2**: Will be met adoption of the final plan by all communities. |
| **ELEMENT F. ADDITIONAL STATE REQUIREMENTS (OPTIONAL FOR STATE REVIEWERS ONLY; NOT TO BE COMPLETED BY FEMA)** |
| F1.  |  |  |  |
| F2.  |  |  |  |
| **ELEMENT F: REQUIRED REVISIONS** |

**SECTION 2: PLAN ASSESSMENT**

**A. Plan Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement**

**Element A: Planning Process**

***Strengths:***

-The updated plan effectively builds upon the previous version, making it easy to understand what changes and progress have occurred over the past several years.

-A range of existing studies, reports, and plans were reviewed and incorporated, resulting in a plan that is comprehensive and current.

-The main body of the plan references the appendices, making it easy for the reader to located attached materials.

-The updated parts of the plan are clearly marked, making it easy to understand how the communities’ risk and mitigation strategies have changed since the previous plan.

 ***Opportunities for Improvement:***

-Provide more detail about what public and stakeholder feedback was received and how it was incorporated into the plan. Documenting public feedback will be useful for evaluating the plan during future updates.

-Include more information on continuous outreach to, and feedback collected from, stakeholders and the public as well as more detail on how ongoing public input and feedback will occur.

-Consider ways in which to better structure the plan's content and convey meaning. One way to do this would be to include more graphics, such as maps, within the narrative.

**Element B: Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment**

***Strengths:***

-There is a well-grounded rationale provided for why certain hazards were omitted from analysis.

-Hazard profiles are well-defined, with detailed information about the context of the hazard and the risk it presents to the communities.

-Specific locations are identified for where each hazard is most likely to occur.

-Maps effectively highlight the areas and facilities that are most at risk.

 ***Opportunities for Improvement:***

-For each of the communities, consider including more information previous hazard events (not just federally declared events), such as points/areas of chronic minor damages.

-Consider a more direct, summarized, comparison of the hazards and risks to help inform other planning efforts on the greatest threats to the planning area.

**Element C: Mitigation Strategy**

***Strengths:***

-The plan provides a comprehensive, detailed description of the communities existing capabilities that relate to mitigation.

-Existing capabilities were effectively evaluated for how they could be expanded and further reduce risk.

-The plan's goals and mitigation actions are integrated with other community planning efforts. This integration will encourage better implementation of the mitigation strategy.

-The community utilized the STAPLEE method for prioritizing mitigation actions. The STAPLEE scores effectively document the community's decision-making process.

 ***Opportunities for Improvement:***

-Include mitigation projects that would be possible if additional funding becomes available, such as during long-term recovery from a major disaster.

 **Element D: Plan Update, Evaluation, and Implementation (*Plan Updates Only*)**

***Strengths:***

-The plan provides a comprehensive analysis of development changes in each of the communities, as well as how these changes relate to risk and that jurisdiction’s mitigation priorities.

-Priorities in the plan recognize current conditions. They are reflective of the planning process, risk assessment, and mitigation strategy.

***Opportunities for Improvement:***

-Describe in more detail the effect that recently-completed mitigation actions have had on reducing the community’s vulnerability.

**SECTION 3: MULTI-JURISDICTION CONTACT LIST**

**INSTRUCTIONS**: For multi-jurisdictional plans, the Contact List must be completed. Identify each participating jurisdiction, the jurisdiction type, and POC information.

| **#** | **Jurisdiction Name** | **Jurisdiction Type****(city/borough/****township/village, etc.)** | **Plan POC** | **Mailing Address** | **Email** | **Phone** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|
| **1** | Andover | Town | Joe Higgins, Town Administrator | 17 School RoadAndover, CT 06232 | jhiggins@andoverct.org | (860) 742-7305 |
| **2** | Avon | Town | James DiPace, Emergency Management Director | 60 West Main StreetAvon, CT 06001 | jdipace@avonct.gov | (860) 409-4319 |
| **3** | Berlin | Town | Matt Odishoo, Emergency Management Director | 240 Kensington RdBerlin, CT 06037 | modishoo@town.berlin.ct.us | (860) 828-7018 |
| **4** | Bloomfield | Town | Jonathan Thiesse, Town Engineer | 800 Bloomfield AvenueBloomfield, CT 06002 | jthiesse@bloomfieldct.org | (860) 769-3587 |
| **5** | Bolton | Town | Patrice L. Carson, AICP, Consulting Director of Community Development | 222 Bolton Center RoadBolton, CT 06043 | patrice.carson@boltonct.org | (860) 649-8066 |
| **6** | Canton | Town | Chris Arciero, Emergency Management Director | 45 River Road (Rt-179)Canton, CT 06019 | carciero@townofcantonct.org | (860) 693-7872 |
| **7** | Columbia | Town | Mark B. Walter, Town Administrator | 323 Jonathan Trumbull HighwayColumbia, CT. 06237 | townadministrator@columbiact.org | (860) 228-0110 |
| **8** | Coventry | Town | Eric Trott, Director of Land Use | 1712 Main StreetCoventry, CT 06238 | etrott@coventryct.org | (860) 742-4062 |
| **9** | East Granby | Town | Gary Haynes, Director of Community Development | 15 North Granby RoadGranby, CT 06035 | garyh@egtownhall.com  | (860) 844-5318 |
| **10** | East Hartford | Town | Brian Jennes, Emergency Management | 31 School StreetEast Hartford, CT 06108 | bjennes@easthartfordct.gov | (860) 291-7411 |
| **11** | East Windsor | Town | Roger Hart, Deputy Chief of Police | 25 School StreetEast Windsor, CT 06088 | roger.hart@eastwindsorpd.com | (860) 292-8240 |
| **12** | Ellington | Town | Lisa Houlihan, AICP, Town Planner | 57 Main StreetEllington, CT 060 | lhoulihan@ellington-ct.gov> | (860) 870-3120 |
| **13** | Enfield | Town | Steven Hall, Emergency Management Director | 293 Elm StreetEnfield, CT 06082 | shall@enfield.org | (860) 763-8940 |
| **14** | Farmington | Town | Paul Melanson, Chief of Police, Emergency Management Director | 319 New Britain AvenueUnionville, CT 06085 | melansonp@farmington-ct.org | (860) 675-2400 |
| **15** | Glastonbury | Town | Michael Bisi, Superintendent of Sanitation | 2155 Main StreetGlastonbury, CT 06033 | mike.bisi@glastonbury-ct.gov | (860) 652-7774 |
| **16** | Granby | Town | Abigail St. Peter Kenyon, AICP, Community Development Director | 15 North Granby RoadGranby, CT 06035 | akenyon@granby-ct.gov | (860) 844-5319 |
| **17** | Hartford | City | Fire Chief Reggie Freeman, Emergency Management Director | 253 High StreetHartford, CT 06103 | reginald.freeman@hartford.gov | (860) 757-4500 |
| **18** | Hebron | Town | Sean C. Shoemaker, Emergency Management Director | 15 Gilead StreetHebron, CT 06248 | sshoemaker@hebronct.com | (860) 228-3022 |
| **19** | Manchester | Town | Matt Bordeaux, Senior Planner | 494 Main Street, PO Box 191Manchester, CT 06045-0191 | mbordeaux@manchesterct.gov | (860) 647-3288 |
| **20** | Mansfield | Town | Adam Libros, Emergency Management Director | 4 South Eagleville RoadStorrs Mansfield, CT 06268 | librosab@mansfieldct.org | (860) 429-3328 |
| **21** | Marlborough | Town | Peter Hughes, Town Planner | 26 North Main St, P.O. Box 29Marlborough, CT 06447 | planner@marlboroughct.net | (860) 295-6202 |
| **22** | New Britain | City | Michael Berry, ER Operations Coordinator | 27 West Main StreetNew Britain, CT 06051 | michael.berry@newbritainct.gov | (860) 826-3000 |
| **23** | Newington | Town | Chris Schroeder, Fire Marshall and Emergency Management Director | 1485 Main StreetNewington, CT 06111 | cschroeder@newingtonct.gov | (860) 667-5910 |
| **24** | Plainville | Town | Mark S. DeVoe, AICP, Planning & Economic Development Director | One Central SquarePlainville, CT 06062 | devoe@plainville-ct.gov | (860) 793-0221 |
| **25** | Rocky Hill | Town | Raymond A. Carpentino, Economic Development Director | 761 Old Main StreetRocky Hill, CT | rcarpentino@rockyhillct.gov | (860) 258-7717 |
| **26** | Simsbury | Town | Michael Glidden, Planning & Community Development Director | 933 Hopmeadow StreetSimsbury, CT 06070 | mglidden@simsbury-ct.gov  | (860) 658-3252 |
| **27** | Somers | Town | Tim Kradas, Emergency Management Director | 600 Main StreetSomers, CT 06071 | tkradas@somersct.gov | (860) 763-7063 |
| **28** | South Windsor | Town | Jubenal "Jay" Gonzalez, Asst. Emergency Management Director | 1540 Sullivan AvenueSouth Windsor, CT 06074 | jubenal.gonzalez@southwindsor.org | (860) 337-6171 |
| **29** | Southington | Town | Rob Phillips, Planning & Community Development Director | 196 North Main StreetSouthington, CT 06489 | phillipsr@southington.org | (860) 276-6248 |
| **30** | Stafford | Town | Rick Zulick, Public Works Director | 210 East StreetStafford Springs, CT 06076 | publicworks@staffordct.org  | (860) 684-3448 |
| **31** | Suffield | Town | Art Groux, Emergency Management Director | 205 Bridge StreetSuffield, CT 06078 | agroux@suffieldems.org  | (860) 668-3881 |
| **32** | Tolland | Town | Kevin Berger, Assistant Planner | 21 Tolland GreenTolland, CT 06084 | kberger@tolland.org | (860) 871-3601 |
| **33** | Vernon | Town | Michael Purcaro, Town Manager | 14 Park PlaceVernon, CT 06066 | mpurcaro@vernon-ct.gov | (860) 870-3670 |
| **34** | West Hartford | Town | Greg Priest, Emergency Management Director | 95 Raymond Road West Hartford, CT 06107 | greg.priest@westhartfordct.gov | (860) 561-8300 |
| **35** | Wethersfield | Town | James Ritter, Emergency Management Director | 505 Silas Deane Highway Wethersfield, CT 06109 | james.ritter@wethersfieldct.gov | (860) 721-2800 |
| **36** | Willington | Town | Stuart Cobb, Emergency Management Director | 40 Old Farms RoadWillington, CT 06279 | scobb@willingtonfire.org | (860) 933-4768 |
| **37** | Windsor | Town | Paul Goldberg, Fire Administrator and Emergency Management Director | 275 Broad StreetWindsor, CT 06095 | goldberg@townofwindsorct.com  | (860) 285-1983 |
| **38** | Windsor Locks | Town | Jen Rodriguez, Town Planner  | 50 Church StreetWindsor Locks, CT 06096 | jrodriguez@wlocks.com  | (860) 627-1447 |

**SECTION 4: MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET**

**INSTRUCTIONS**: For multi-jurisdictional plans, a Multi-jurisdiction Summary Spreadsheet may be completed by listing each participating jurisdiction, which required Elements for each jurisdiction were ‘Met’ or ‘Not Met,’ and when the adoption resolutions were received. This Summary Sheet does not imply that a mini-plan be developed for each jurisdiction; it should be used as an optional worksheet to ensure that each jurisdiction participating in the Plan has been documented and has met the requirements for those Elements (A through E). *Please Note: Sub-elements that do not have jurisdiction-specific requirements are ‘blocked-out’ in the Summary Spreadsheet.*

|  | **MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET (*Regional & Municipals’ Annexes met all Requirements)*** |
| --- | --- |
| **#** | **Jurisdiction Name** | **Requirements Met (Y/N)** |
| **A.****Planning Process** | **B.****Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment** | **C. Mitigation Strategy** | **D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation** | **E. Plan Adoption** |
| **A1** | **A2** | **A3** | **A4** | **A5** |  **A6** | **B1** | **B2** | **B3** | **B4** | **C1** | **C2** | **C3** | **C4** | **C5** | **C6** | **D1** | **D2** |  **D3** | **E1** | **E2** |
| **1** | Andover | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **2** | Avon | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **3** | Berlin | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **4** | Bloomfield | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **5** | Bolton | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **6** | Canton | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **7** | Columbia | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **8** | Coventry | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **9** | East Granby | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **10** | East Hartford | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **11** | East Windsor | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **12** | Ellington | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **13** | Enfield | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **14** | Farmington | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **15** | Glastonbury | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **16** | Granby | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **17** | Hartford | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **18** | Hebron | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **19** | Manchester | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **20** | Mansfield | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **21** | Marlborough | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **22** | New Britain | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **23** | Newington | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **24** | Plainville | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **25** | Rocky Hill | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **26** | Simsbury | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **27** | Somers | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **28** | South Windsor | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **29** | Southington | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **30** | Stafford | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **31** | Suffield | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **32** | Tolland | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **33** | Vernon | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **34** | West Hartford | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **35** | Wethersfield | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **36** | Willington | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **37** | Windsor | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| **38** | Windsor Locks | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |