

---

**Tod Roles, Visioning, Viability & Tools Analysis Study  
Request for Qualifications  
Addendum #1  
March 31, 2021**

**Questions and Answers**

The following is a list of questions received related to the Request for Qualifications for the Tod Roles, Visioning, Viability & Tools Analysis Study. The deadline for submitting questions was 3:00pm on March 29, 2021. Responses from CRCOG are noted in red.

Section 3. Scope of Overview of the RFP references “capital and operations costs estimates” as a component of the TOD study. Nevertheless, the draft scope of work in Exhibit D does not explicitly include cost estimation under any of the tasks. Where does CRCOG see cost estimation fitting into the scope of work, and how would it support overall project goals?

**Thank you for bringing this to our attention. That line was left in the RFQ by mistake. A revised version of the RFQ has been posed along with this addendum.**

Task 4D. Visioning references “changes to the site’s zoning and urban design” and requests “planning level concept plans.” What level of detail does CRCOG anticipate for 1) designs and/or renderings at each TOD site and 2) zoning recommendations at sites where required?

**CRCOG anticipates sketch level detail for the concept plans.**

**For zoning recommendations, we are looking for specific recommended changes to the town’s zoning regulations.**

How will planning between the CTFastrak and Hartford Lines work? What roles will CTDOT and the different municipalities play in coordinating the studies for these corridors? Should we consider each corridor as a separate stream of study or part of an integrated plan?

**Both CTDOT and the municipalities will be involved in the study. Municipalities will play a critical role in determining which sites to study as well as determining the vision for those sites. Regarding corridors, we are open to suggestions on how best to approach this. Please note that we are expecting site level recommendations regarding zoning and vision.**