



To: Cost Review and Subcommittee

From: Sotoria Montanari, Program Manager

Date: April 14, 2022

c: Rob Aloise, Director of Planning

Cara Radzins, Transportation Deputy

Transportation Committee

Subject: 2022 LOTCIP Solicitation Staff Ratings and Draft Selections

A Cost Review and Subcommittee meeting will be held on April 25 at 11 am. The Committee will review and discuss the 2022 LOTCIP solicitation draft rankings and to entertain subcommittee action for endorsement of project selections. Our project selection policy discussed reserving \$38 million for the solicitation, with the following funding splits:

Traditional Reconstruction Projects \$25,800,000
Pavement Rehab/Stand-Alone Sidewalk Projects \$5,700,000
Bicycle/Pedestrian Projects \$2,500,000
Rural Set Aside Projects \$4,000,000

Attached to this memorandum, please find Table 1 showing staff project selection recommendations based on the highest rated projects within each funding category, and CRCOG's rural set-aside guidelines. To attain the goal of funding approximately \$38 million, staff is recommending selecting more than the allocated \$25.8 million reserved for Traditional Reconstruction Projects. This recommendation is the result of CRCOG receiving requests for Pavement Rehab/Stand-Alone Sidewalk Project funding that was less than the amount allocated. To reach a total funding goal of \$38 million, staff recommends also funding the two next highest rated Reconstruction Projects (Berlin and South Windsor), resulting in \$29.5 million of selections in that category. The result is a \$38.9 million of selections that staff recommends be approved for application processing and submission to CTDOT for inclusion in the LOTCIP program.

Of the selected projects, three (3) have been designated "incentive project" selections and are identified in Table 1 by an asterisk *. This designation of "incentive projects" is in keeping with CRCOG's historic practice of conditionally awarding high ranking projects to municipalities that already have multiple LOTCIP projects (for this solicitation staff proposes 3 or more) in the design phase. The advancement of these "incentive projects" would be contingent on that municipality completing design of one of their three other LOTCIP projects. All other projects will be advanced to CTDOT as soon as possible.

Also, attached to this memorandum please find the draft project ratings for reconstruction projects (Table 2), pavement rehabilitation/stand-alone sidewalk projects (Table 3) and bicycle/pedestrian projects (Table 4). The draft project ratings for the reconstruction projects and bicycle/pedestrian project worksheets are delineated by a "heavy line", above which are the projects selected within the funding splits noted. As noted above, two additional reconstruction projects are recommended for funding, delineated below the heavy line. In addition, per CRCOG selection policies, the top ranked "Rural project" from below each delineation line has been selected for funding.

If you have any questions or concerns in advance of the meeting, please feel free to contact Ms. Sotoria Montanari(smontanari@crcog.org) at 860-724-4220 or Mr. Rob Aloise (raloise@crcog.org) at 860-724-4214.

| 7 | Table 1: 2022 DRAFT Staff Recommended LOTCIP Project | ts for | r Funding | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--------|-----------|----|------------|--|--|
| Town | Town Project | | | | | | |
| Traditional Reconst | truction Projects | | | | | | |
| Hartford* | New Britin Avenue at White St and Chandler St Roundabout | \$ | 2,313,200 | \$ | 2,313,200 | | |
| Manchester | Tolland Turnpike Improvements | \$ | 3,200,000 | \$ | 5,513,200 | | |
| New Britain | Arch Street Complete Streets Improvements | \$ | 3,196,000 | \$ | 8,709,200 | | |
| Suffield (R) | Bridge Street Reconstruction | \$ | 2,348,000 | \$ | 11,057,200 | | |
| Berlin* | Burnham & Massirio Improvements | \$ | 1,063,428 | \$ | 12,120,628 | | |
| East Hartford* | Goodwin Street Phase 2 Reconstruction | \$ | 934,800 | \$ | 13,055,428 | | |
| Mansfield (R) | Maple Road Complete Street Phase 2 | \$ | 3,142,400 | \$ | 16,197,828 | | |
| Ellington (R) | Windemere Avenue | \$ | 1,921,200 | \$ | 18,119,028 | | |
| Farmington | Burlington Road Reconstruction | \$ | 2,845,200 | \$ | 20,964,228 | | |
| Coventry (R) | Daly Road Roadway and Pedestrian Improvements | \$ | 3,174,500 | \$ | 24,138,728 | | |
| Berlin | Episcopal Road and Rowley Street Improvements | \$ | 2,136,572 | \$ | 26,275,300 | | |
| South Windsor | Dart Hill Road Reconstruction | \$ | 3,200,000 | \$ | 29,475,300 | | |
| Total Reconstructio | n Projects | | | \$ | 29,475,300 | | |
| Pavement Rehabilit | ation / Stand-Alone Sidewalk Projects | | | | | | |
| Simsbury | Firetown Road Sidewalk Gap | \$ | 1,200,000 | \$ | 1,200,000 | | |
| Ellington (R) | Somers Road & Maple St Sidewalk Improvements | \$ | 995,400 | \$ | 2,195,400 | | |
| Glastonbury | Main Street Sidewalks Phase 3C | \$ | 1,200,000 | \$ | 3,395,400 | | |
| Southington | Various ADA Improvements | \$ | 903,600 | \$ | 4,299,000 | | |
| Total Pavement Rel | hab/Sidewalk Projects | | | \$ | 4,299,000 | | |
| Bicycle and Pedestr | ian Projects | | | | | | |
| Bolton (R) | Heritage Farm/Bolton High School Multi-Use Trail | \$ | 1,200,000 | \$ | 1,200,000 | | |
| Wethersfield | Heritage Way Trail Improvements (Great Meadow/Marsh) Phase 2 | \$ | 1,105,200 | \$ | 2,305,200 | | |
| Total Bicycle/Pedes | trian Improvement Projects | | | \$ | 2,305,200 | | |
| Rural Set-Aside Red | commendations | | | | | | |
| Suffield (R) | Farmington Canal Heritage Trail Reconstruction | \$ | 921,200 | \$ | 921,200 | | |
| Marlborough (R) | South Main Street Improvements Phase III | \$ | 1,990,800 | \$ | 2,912,000 | | |
| Total Rural Set-Asia | de Projects | | | \$ | 2,912,000 | | |
| Total | | | | | | | |
| Cumulative Total | | | Total | \$ | 38,991,500 | | |

^{*} Projects advance contingent on that municipality completing design of one of their three other LOTCIP projects (R) Denotes towns that CRCOG classifies as "Rural" for LOTCIP purposes

| | | | | | | | Ratings | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|--|---|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|-------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Town | Project | Structural (Pavement, drainage, bridge/culv ert | Traffic Safety and Operations (flow, | Traffic Volume (ADT)/ Transit Ridership | Regional Sig. | Environ- mental | Complete Streets | Derived From Corridor Study/Long Range | Municipally Owned Arterial or Collector | Leverages other Finances | No prior LOTCIP projects, or all are awarded | Accel- erated Project Delivery | Preliminary Ratings | LOTCIP Request | | Cumulative | |
| | | 14 points | 21 points | 15 points | 17 points | 12 □ pts | 16□ points | 5 points | 10 points | 5 points | 5 points | 5 points | Total 120 points | | | | |
| Hartford | New Britain Avenue at White Street & Chandler Street Roundabout | 11 | 21 | 11.9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84.9 | \$ | 2,313,200 | \$ | 2,313,200 |
| Manchester | Tolland Turnpike Improvements (Chapel Rd to Adams Street) | 10 | 13 | 15 | 10 | 6 | 14 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 83.0 | \$ | 3,200,000 | \$ | 5,513,200 |
| New Britain | Arch Street Complete Street Improvements | 9 | 18 | 7.6 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 82.6 | \$ | 3,196,000 | \$ | 8,709,200 |
| Suffield (R) | Bridge Street Reconstruction | 12 | 16 | 11 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 74.0 | \$ | 2,348,400 | \$ | 11,057,600 |
| Berlin | Burnham & Massirio Improvements | 11 | 9 | 6.2 | 13 | 0 | 6.5 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 59.7 | \$ | 1,555,000 | \$ | 12,612,600 |
| East Hartford | Goodwin Street Phase 2 Reconstruction Project | 10 | 9 | 3.3 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 59.3 | \$ | 934,800 | \$ | 13,547,400 |
| Mansfield (R) | Maple Road Complete Street Phase 2 | 11 | 13 | 1.9 | 8 | 1 | 8.5 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 54.4 | \$ | 3,142,400 | \$ | 16,689,800 |
| Ellington (R) | Windemere Avenue Improvements | 11 | 8 | 6.4 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52.4 | \$ | 1,921,200 | \$ | 18,611,000 |
| Farmington | Burlington Road Reconstruction | 13 | 12 | 5.2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52.2 | \$ | 2,845,200 | \$ | 21,456,200 |
| Coventry (R) | Daly Road Roadway and Pedestrian Improvements | 11 | 13 | 2.1 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52.1 | \$ | 3,174,500 | \$ | 24,630,700 |
| Berlin | Episcopal Road and Rowley Street Improvements | 6 | 10 | 7.7 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49.7 | \$ | 2,136,572 | \$ | 26,767,272 |
| South Windsor | Dart Hill Road Reconstruction | 9 | 9 | 6.5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 46.5 | \$ | 3,200,000 | \$ | 29,967,272 |
| Southington | Replacement of Marion Avenue Bridge over Unnamed Stream | 12 | 15 | 3.8 | 4 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45.3 | \$ | 1,063,000 | \$ | 31,030,272 |
| Windsor | International Drive Improvements - Phase 2 SB | 6 | 10 | 8.8 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43.8 | \$ | 1,970,100 | \$ | 33,000,372 |
| Plainville | Reconstruction of Red Stone Hill | 8 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43.0 | \$ | 1,946,400 | \$ | 32,976,672 |
| Marlborough (R) | South Main Street Improvements Phase | 8 | 5 | 2.4 | 7 | 2 | 1.5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35.9 | \$ | 1,990,800 | \$ | 34,991,172 |

(R) Denotes towns that CRCOG classifies as "Rural" for LOTCIP purposes

Table 3: 2022 LOTCIP Solicitation Pavement Rehabilitation/Stand-Alone Sidewalk Project Ratings

| | | | Pavemen | nt Rehabilitation | Ratings | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|---|---|--------|
| Town | | Structural | Traffic Volume / Transit | Complete Streets | Regional Significance | Environmental Justice | No prior LOTCIP projs., or all are awarded | | | | | | |
| | Project | 20 points | 10 points | 5 points | 5 points | 5 points | 5 points | Preliminary Ratings | Preliminary Ratings | • | • | • | LOTCIP |
| 10WH | Troject | | Stand- | Alone Sidewalk I | Ratings | | | | Request | Cumulative | | | |
| | | Fills Gaps/ adds to Network | Safety/ Traffic Volume/ Transit | N/A | Especially Vulnerable Users | | No prior LOTCIP projs., or all are awarded | | | | | | |
| | | 20 points | 15 points | N/A | 5 points | 5 points | 5 points | Total 50 points | | | | | |
| Simsbury | Firetown Road Sidewalk Gap Closure | 11.0 | 10.0 | N/A | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.0 | \$1,369,400 | \$1,369,400 | | | |
| Ellington (R) | Somers Rd & Maple St Sidewalk Improvements | 9.0 | 10.0 | N/A | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.0 | \$995,400 | \$2,364,800 | | | |
| Glastonbury | Main Street Sidewalks Phase 3C | 11.0 | 11.0 | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.0 | \$1,201,200 | \$3,566,000 | | | |
| Southington | Various ADA Improvements | 8.0 | 8.0 | N/A | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | \$903,600 | \$4,469,600 | | | |

NOTE: Shading denotes Stand-Alone Sidewalk Projects
(R) denotes towns that CRCOG classifies as "Rural" for LOTCIP purposes

Table 4: 2022 LOTCIP Solicitation Bicycle & Pedestrian Project Ratings

| | | | | | Ratings | | | | |
|--------------|--|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Town | Project | Improves Mobility | Improves Safety | Especially Vulnerable Users | Environmental Justice | No Previous LOTCIP funding | Preliminary Ratings | LOTCIP Request | Cumulative |
| | | 20 points | 15 points | 5 points | 5 points | 5 points | Total 50 points | | |
| Bolton (R) | Heritage Farm/Bolton High School Multi-Use Trail | 13.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 33.0 | \$ 1,200,000 | \$ 1,200,000 |
| Wethersfield | Heritage Way Trail Improvements along Great Meadow Road and Marsh Street (Phase 2) | 13.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.0 | \$ 1,105,200 | \$ 2,305,200 |
| Suffield (R) | Farmington Canal Heritage Trail (SU-2) Reconstruction | 6.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 13.0 | \$ 921,200 | \$ 3,226,400 |