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## Section 1 Introduction

Tighe \& Bond has been retained to conduct the Route 20 Transportation and Land Study (Study) by the Capitol Region Council of Governments (CRCOG) on behalf of the Town of Windsor Locks (Town). The Route 20 Transportation \& Land Use Study Existing Conditions Technical Memorandum was completed in May 2022. Following completion of the Existing Conditions Assessment, Tighe \& Bond then began a Future Conditions Assessment, the results of which are included in this memorandum.

A Study Vision Statement was developed during the Existing Conditions Assessment to outline the goals and objectives for the Study and provide a basis for subsequent phases. The Study Vision Statement has been refined based on feedback from the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and is as follows:

- Develop feasible and community supported transportation solutions that address identified safety concerns, maintain traffic flow, and provide guidance on access management issues while accommodating future land use development opportunities.
- Improve transportation system access and mobility for alternative travel modes including sidewalk, bicycle, and transit infrastructure and amenities to provide a complete transportation system.
- Develop a comprehensive transportation and development management plan that prioritizes and defines implementation timelines to enable the programming, funding, and construction of improvements.

The Assessment of Future Conditions conducts an analysis of the Route 20 study area under existing geometric and operational conditions utilizing projected 2050 Future Traffic volumes both with and without potential future development and redevelopment. This process identifies any operational concerns as compared to existing conditions and areas of concern that are expected to develop in the future if no improvements are made to the transportation system. In addition, potential pedestrian, bicycle, and transit infrastructure concerns and considerations under the future condition were reviewed in detail.

The existing and future operational and access concerns, safety concerns, and bicycle, pedestrian, and transit opportunities in the Route 20 study area outlined in this memorandum and the Existing Conditions Technical Memorandum will serve as the basis for the Analysis of Alternatives and the development of Transportation Improvement and Development Management Plan.

## Section 2 <br> 2050 Future Conditions

A future year of 2050 was used as a basis of the future conditions traffic assessment. The future traffic volumes were developed using general background growth based on the CTDOT transportation model and any developments that are approved or currently under construction. Based on this methodology, the 2022 Existing Conditions traffic volumes were projected out to 2050 to develop the 2050 Future Conditions traffic volumes.

### 2.1 Traffic Volumes

The 2022 Existing Conditions intersection turning movement traffic volumes were projected to the 2050 Future Conditions based on a general background growth rate and any approved but not yet constructed developments. The background growth rate from the CTDOT transportation model includes traffic volume growth due to population and employment growth in Windsor Locks. Traffic volumes estimated to be generated by the previously approved Governor's Station Mixed-Use development were also included in the 2050 Future Conditions traffic volumes. These two components of traffic volume growth were applied to the 2022 Existing Condition to develop the 2050 Future Conditions traffic volumes, which were then approved by CTDOT. The 2050 Future Conditions intersection turning movement traffic volumes for the peak hours at each of the study intersections are shown in Figure 2-1.

The estimated peak hour traffic volume growth in the study area from the 2022 Existing Conditions and the 2050 Future Conditions was reviewed along study area roadways. Route 75 is estimated to experience bi-directional traffic volume growth of between approximately $22 \%$ and $32 \%$ during the weekday morning peak hour and between $23 \%$ and $29 \%$ during the weekday afternoon peak hour. Route 140, Halfway House Road, and Old County Road are projected to experience slightly lower overall growth of between approximately $16 \%$ and $21 \%$ during the weekday morning peak hour and between $14 \%$ and $17 \%$ during the weekday afternoon peak hour. The bi-directional traffic volume comparison between the 2022 Existing and 2050 Future Conditions for the weekday morning and weekday afternoon peak hours are shown in Tables 2-1 and 2-2, respectively.

TABLE 2-1
Future Conditions Traffic Volume Summary - Weekday Morning Peak Hour

| Location | $\begin{array}{c}\text { 2022 } \\ \text { Existing }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{2 0 5 0} \\ \text { Future }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Approx. Change } \\ \text { (Existing to Future) } \\ \text { Net Vol. }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Percent |  |  |  |  |$]$

TABLE 2-1 (CONTINUED)
Future Conditions Traffic Volume Summary - Weekday Morning Peak Hour

| Location | $2022$ <br> Existing | 2050 Future | Approx. Change (Existing to Future) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Route 75 <br> North of Route 140 | 1,173 | 1,430 | 257 | 21.9\% |
| Route 140 |  |  |  |  |
| Route 75 to Old County Rd | 568 | 660 | 93 | 16.3\% |
| East of Old County Rd | 297 | 350 | 53 | 17.8\% |
| Halfway House Road Route 75 to Old County Rd | 202 | 245 | 43 | 21.3\% |
| Old County Road |  |  |  |  |
| Route 140 to Halfway House Rd | 456 | 540 | 84 | 18.4\% |
| South of Halfway House Rd | 569 | 670 | 101 | 17.8\% |

TABLE 2-2
Future Conditions Traffic Volume Summary - Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour

| Location | $2022$ <br> Existing | 2050 Future | Approx. Change (Existing to Future) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Route 75 |  |  |  |  |
| South of Route 20 WB Ramps | 785 | 970 | 185 | 23.6\% |
| Route 20 EB Ramps to Route 20 WB Ramps | 1,080 | 1,395 | 315 | 29.2\% |
| Route 20 WB Ramps to Halfway House Rd | 1,508 | 1,930 | 422 | 28.0\% |
| Halfway House Rd to Schoephoester Rd | 1,310 | 1,625 | 316 | 24.1\% |
| Schoephoester Rd to Route 140 | 1,245 | 1,545 | 301 | 24.1\% |
| North of Route 140 | 1,454 | 1,790 | 336 | 23.1\% |
| Route 140 |  |  |  |  |
| Route 75 to Old County Rd | 769 | 895 | 127 | 16.5\% |
| East of Old County Rd | 422 | 480 | 58 | 13.7\% |
| Halfway House Road |  |  |  |  |
| Route 75 to Old County Rd | 317 | 370 | 53 | 16.7\% |
| Old County Road |  |  |  |  |
| Route 140 to Halfway House Rd | 593 | 690 | 98 | 16.5\% |
| South of Halfway House Rd | 747 | 870 | 123 | 16.5\% |

### 2.2 Traffic Operations

Utilizing the existing geometry and traffic signal settings established under the 2022 Existing Conditions traffic analyses, traffic operations for the 2050 Future Conditions traffic volumes were evaluated for the study area intersections using Trafficware's Synchro plus SimTraffic 11 - Traffic Signal Coordination Software, based on the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition methodology.

An intersection's qualitative operational condition is described by the HCM in terms of average control delay per vehicle and volume to capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio. Average control delay is measured in seconds of delay that occurs at an intersection, per vehicle, due to the traffic control. The v/c ratio is a measurement of the volume of a particular traffic movement or approach in comparison to the capacity of the movement/approach. Volume to capacity ratios closer to zero represent that the approach has significant capacity remaining while approaches with v/c ratio values approaching or exceeding 1.0 indicates that the approach is near or at capacity and not able to accommodate the traffic flow.

Together the average control delay and v/c ratio are combined to assign a Level of Service (LOS) to a particular intersection or intersection approach movement. LOS is defined by HCM, using average control delay and $v / c$, to assign letter grades A through $F$ to indicate the efficiency of the traffic control at an intersection. The definitions of the letter grades in terms of average control delay and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ are provided in the table below.

In general intersections that exhibit a LOS A or B are considered to have excellent to good operating conditions with little congestion or delay. LOS C indicates an intersection with acceptable operations. LOS D indicates an intersection that has tolerable operations with average delays approaching one minute. Intersections with Levels of Service E and F are operating with poor or failing conditions and typically warrant a more thorough review and possible improvement to mitigate the capacity issues. Improvements can include geometric, lane use, timing modifications, or different form of traffic control to mitigate the operational issues and reduce average delay. In the context of this planning process, during the analysis of both existing and future conditions, intersections exhibiting LOS E and F will be identified for further analysis and potential improvements.

|  | Signalized <br> Intersection Criteria <br> Level of <br> Service | Average Control Delay <br> (Seconds per Vehicle) | Unsignalized <br> Intersection Criteria <br> Average Control Delay <br> (Seconds per Vehicle) | V/C Ratio >1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Note: aFor approach-based and intersection-wide assessments, LOS is defined solely by control delay.

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition: A Guide for Multimodal Mobility Analysis. Washington, D.C.: Transportation Research Board, 2016. Exhibit 19-8, Pg. 19-16 \& Exhibit 21-8, Pa. 21-9.

In addition to LOS, the HCM methodology also allows for the calculation of queues. Queues are the expected length of vehicles waiting at an intersection due to the delay incurred by the traffic control. The $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues, or average queues, are the average number of vehicles expected on an approach at any given time. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile, or design queues, are the maximum expected queues on a given approach.

Tables 2-3 and 2-4 summarize the estimated traffic operations at the study area intersections during each peak period in terms of LOS and queues, respectively. Figure 22 presents a visual representation of the overall LOS results at each study area intersection with the LOS color coded by letter. Within Table 2-3, intersections, approaches and/or movements with significant delays (LOS E) and failing operations (LOS F) have been highlighted yellow and red, respectively. Within Table 2-4, approaches or movements with average and/ or design queues that exceed the available storage are highlighted in red. Capacity analysis worksheets for the 2050 Future Conditions traffic operations are included in Appendix A.

The study area intersections and movements continue to operate acceptably at LOS D or better in the 2050 Future Conditions during both peak hours with the exception of the intersection of Route 75 at the Route 20 westbound off-ramp. However, with minor timing adjustments, the westbound right movement improves to LOS C operation and an overall $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio under 1.0. All average and design queues continue to be accommodated within available storage at each study area intersection.

TABLE 2-3
Intersection Operation Summary - Capacity

|  | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lane Use | 2022 |  |  | 2050 |  |  | 2050 |  |  | 2022 |  |  | 2050 |  |  | 2050 |  |  |
|  |  | Existing |  |  | Future |  |  | Future with Development |  |  | Existing |  |  | Future |  |  | Future with Development |  |  |
|  |  | LOS | Delay | V/C | LOS | Delay | V/C | LOS | Delay | V/C | LOS | Delay | V/C | LOS | Delay | V/C | LOS | Delay | V/C |
| Traffic Signal - Route 75 (Ella Grasso Turnpike) at Route 20 EB Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall |  | A | 3.9 | 0.27 | A | 5.1 | 0.44 | A | 5.5 | 0.48 | A | 4.9 | 0.50 | A | 8.6 | 0.63 | B | 10.6 | 0.65 |
|  | EB | B | 18.4 | 0.27 | C | 23.8 | 0.44 | C | 25.0 | 0.48 | C | 27.5 | 0.50 | C | 30.8 | 0.63 | C | 31.3 | 0.65 |
| Route 75 (Ella Grasso Turnpike) | NBL | A | 4.6 | 0.09 | A | 5.1 | 0.12 | A | 5.3 | 0.12 | A | 3.8 | 0.11 | A | 5.3 | 0.14 | A | 5.6 | 0.14 |
|  | NBT | A | 3.7 | 0.08 | A | 3.9 | 0.11 | A | 4.1 | 0.11 | A | 3.1 | 0.14 | A | 4.6 | 0.20 | A | 4.8 | 0.21 |
|  | SBT | A | 2.7 | 0.09 | A | 3.0 | 0.17 | A | 3.1 | 0.17 | A | 2.4 | 0.10 | A | 6.0 | 0.20 | A | 8.9 | 0.20 |
|  | SBR | A | 0.8 | 0.21 | A | 1.1 | 0.29 | A | 1.3 | 0.33 | A | 1.1 | 0.31 | A | 6.3 | 0.44 | A | 9.6 | 0.51 |


| Overall |  | A | 7.2 | 0.75 | A | 9.4 | 0.83 | B | 13.3 | 0.91 | B | 10.9 | 0.86 | C | 21.3 | 0.92 | C | 24.2 | 0.93 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Route 20 WB Off-Ramp | WBTL | C | 27.7 | 0.24 | C | 27.0 | 0.34 | C | 22.9 | 0.27 | C | 23.8 | 0.24 | B | 10.5 | 0.11 | A | 8.7 | 0.09 |
|  | WBR | B | 11.7 | 0.75 | B | 16.5 | 0.83 | C | 26.4 | 0.91 | C | 22.2 | 0.86 | C | 34.6 | 0.92 | C | 33.9 | 0.93 |
|  | NBL | A | 3.9 | 0.08 | A | 5.0 | 0.10 | A | 6.4 | 0.12 | A | 5.0 | 0.06 | B | 16.7 | 0.18 | C | 23.8 | 0.27 |
| Route 75 (Ella Grasso | NBT | A | 3.3 | 0.11 | A | 4.1 | 0.15 | A | 5.4 | 0.17 | A | 4.4 | 0.19 | B | 15.9 | 0.41 | B | 19.5 | 0.48 |
| Turnpike) | SBT | A | 4.4 | 0.22 | A | 5.8 | 0.31 | A | 7.4 | 0.35 | A | 6.1 | 0.27 | B | 18.8 | 0.56 | C | 24.7 | 0.71 |
|  | SBR | A | 1.7 | 0.08 | A | 1.8 | 0.12 | A | 1.9 | 0.14 | A | 1.9 | 0.11 | A | 4.6 | 0.25 | A | 7.0 | 0.32 |

Traffic Signal - Route 75 (Ella Grasso Turnpike) at Halfway House Road/LAZ Parking Driveway

| Overall |  | A | 4.2 | 0.47 | A | 4.5 | 0.54 | A | 8.5 | 0.60 | A | 9.7 | 0.71 | B | 11.6 | 0.71 | B | 14.7 | 0.81 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAZ Parking Driveway | EB | A | 0.0 | 0.01 | A | 0.5 | 0.10 | B | 11.0 | 0.21 | C | 20.1 | 0.11 | C | 20.4 | 0.26 | B | 16.1 | 0.36 |
| Halfway House Road | WB | B | 15.3 | 0.47 | B | 19.3 | 0.54 | D | 38.8 | 0.60 | D | 43.7 | 0.71 | D | 41.7 | 0.71 | D | 49.9 | 0.81 |
| Route 75 (Ella Grasso Turnpike) | NB | A | 3.8 | 0.27 | A | 5.1 | 0.35 | A | 8.5 | 0.46 | A | 8.0 | 0.43 | B | 12.4 | 0.60 | B | 16.5 | 0.70 |
|  | SBL | A | 1.7 | 0.05 | A | 1.0 | 0.08 | A | 2.2 | 0.10 | A | 2.2 | 0.07 | A | 2.6 | 0.10 | A | 3.2 | 0.11 |
|  | SBTR | A | 2.7 | 0.17 | A | 1.2 | 0.20 | A | 1.9 | 0.24 | A | 2.2 | 0.23 | A | 1.8 | 0.31 | A | 2.6 | 0.35 |

Traffic Signal - Route 75 (Ella Grasso Turnpike) at Route 401 (Schoephoester Road)/National Drive

| Overall |  | B | 18.5 | 0.69 | C | 21.0 | 0.69 | C | 21.1 | 0.69 | C | 21.1 | 0.69 | C | 23.5 | 0.71 | C | 24.8 | 0.76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Route 401 <br> (Schoephoester Road) | EBL | D | 39.6 | 0.34 | D | 40.9 | 0.43 | D | 41.2 | 0.44 | D | 42.2 | 0.59 | D | 44.3 | 0.66 | D | 44.3 | 0.66 |
|  | EBLT | D | 39.3 | 0.34 | D | 39.8 | 0.41 | D | 39.9 | 0.42 | D | 41.7 | 0.58 | D | 43.5 | 0.65 | D | 44.4 | 0.66 |
|  | EBR | A | 3.9 | 0.21 | A | 3.7 | 0.22 | A | 3.7 | 0.22 | A | 3.0 | 0.27 | A | 3.7 | 0.31 | A | 4.6 | 0.32 |
| National Drive | WBL | D | 36.0 | 0.09 | D | 38.4 | 0.20 | D | 41.0 | 0.22 | C | 34.0 | 0.07 | D | 36.5 | 0.10 | D | 36.4 | 0.10 |
|  | WBTR | C | 24.6 | 0.21 | C | 24.9 | 0.25 | C | 26.6 | 0.34 | C | 26.3 | 0.31 | C | 31.7 | 0.42 | C | 32.9 | 0.49 |
|  | NBL | D | 36.3 | 0.69 | C | 34.3 | 0.69 | C | 31.4 | 0.69 | C | 32.4 | 0.69 | C | 32.3 | 0.71 | D | 36.2 | 0.76 |
| Route 75 (Ella Grasso Turnpike) | NBTR | A | 6.6 | 0.18 | B | 10.9 | 0.25 | B | 12.9 | 0.31 | A | 8.7 | 0.26 | B | 10.0 | 0.35 | B | 12.0 | 0.41 |
|  | SBL | C | 34.6 | 0.15 | D | 36.7 | 0.27 | D | 39.5 | 0.44 | C | 34.8 | 0.07 | C | 35.0 | 0.16 | D | 37.3 | 0.35 |
|  | SBT | C | 23.0 | 0.26 | C | 26.8 | 0.38 | C | 25.3 | 0.41 | C | 31.8 | 0.41 | D | 37.7 | 0.67 | D | 36.4 | 0.71 |
|  | SBR | A | 0.1 | 0.07 | A | 0.1 | 0.08 | A | 0.1 | 0.08 | A | 0.1 | 0.11 | A | 0.2 | 0.13 | A | 0.2 | 0.13 |


| Overall |  | A | 5.8 | 0.41 | A | 6.1 | 0.45 | A | 6.0 | 0.45 | A | 8.6 | 0.53 | B | 11.5 | 0.58 | B | 11.5 | 0.58 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EBL | A | 1.9 | 0.08 | A | 2.0 | 0.10 | A | 2.0 | 0.10 | A | 2.4 | 0.14 | A | 3.3 | 0.17 | A | 3.3 | 0.17 |
| Route 401 | EBTR | A | 4.5 | 0.09 | A | 4.5 | 0.11 | A | 4.5 | 0.11 | A | 5.6 | 0.19 | A | 7.5 | 0.25 | A | 7.5 | 0.25 |
| (Schoephoester Road) | WBL | A | 1.8 | 0.02 | A | 1.8 | 0.02 | A | 1.8 | 0.02 | A | 2.0 | 0.02 | A | 2.9 | 0.04 | A | 2.9 | 0.04 |
|  | WBTR | A | 4.6 | 0.13 | A | 4.8 | 0.15 | A | 4.8 | 0.15 | A | 5.5 | 0.20 | A | 7.4 | 0.25 | A | 7.4 | 0.25 |
| Airport Service Road | NB | A | 0.8 | 0.08 | A | 3.5 | 0.22 | A | 3.5 | 0.22 | C | 32.8 | 0.41 | D | 40.9 | 0.58 | D | 40.9 | 0.58 |
| Light Lane | SBLT | D | 39.2 | 0.07 | D | 41.0 | 0.15 | D | 41.0 | 0.15 | D | 41.8 | 0.24 | D | 44.7 | 0.43 | D | 44.7 | 0.43 |
| Light Lane | SBR | B | 14.7 | 0.41 | B | 14.4 | 0.45 | B | 14.4 | 0.45 | B | 13.6 | 0.53 | B | 11.0 | 0.52 | B | 11.0 | 0.52 |

Traffic Signal - Route 75 (Ella Grasso Turnpike) at Route 140 (Elm Street)

| Overall |  | A | 7.9 | 0.45 | A | 8.6 | 0.50 | A | 8.8 | 0.55 | B | 13.4 | 0.68 | B | 18.9 | 0.77 | B | 19.4 | 0.83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Route 140 (Elm Street) | WBL | D | 37.6 | 0.45 | D | 36.7 | 0.48 | C | 34.6 | 0.46 | D | 38.2 | 0.53 | D | 38.1 | 0.58 | D | 37.7 | 0.58 |
|  | WBR | A | 5.2 | 0.43 | B | 10.1 | 0.50 | B | 12.3 | 0.52 | A | 7.4 | 0.35 | B | 10.4 | 0.35 | B | 11.5 | 0.37 |
| Route 75 (Ella Grasso Turnpike) | NB | A | 8.6 | 0.26 | A | 7.1 | 0.34 | A | 6.3 | 0.39 | B | 16.3 | 0.50 | C | 22.4 | 0.74 | C | 20.9 | 0.78 |
|  | SBL | A | 4.9 | 0.38 | A | 7.0 | 0.50 | A | 8.5 | 0.55 | B | 12.9 | 0.68 | C | 29.3 | 0.77 | D | 37.3 | 0.83 |
|  | SBT | A | 2.9 | 0.16 | A | 3.6 | 0.21 | A | 4.1 | 0.23 | A | 3.4 | 0.18 | A | 4.2 | 0.25 | A | 4.5 | 0.28 |
| Unsignalized TWSC - Route 140 (Elm Street) at Old County Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Route 140 (Elm Street) | WBL | A | 8.0 | 0.06 | A | 8.2 | 0.08 | A | 8.2 | 0.08 | A | 8.5 | 0.07 | A | 8.8 | 0.09 | A | 8.9 | 0.10 |
| Old County Road | NBL | C | 15.6 | 0.36 | C | 19.2 | 0.47 | C | 20.6 | 0.50 | C | 21.3 | 0.48 | D | 31.4 | 0.65 | E | 36.5 | 0.70 |
|  | NBR | A | 9.6 | 0.06 | A | 9.9 | 0.09 | B | 10.0 | 0.09 | B | 10.6 | 0.10 | B | 11.1 | 0.11 | B | 11.3 | 0.12 |

Unsignalized AWSC - Old County Road at Halfway House Road

| Overall |  | B | 11.1 | 0.45 | C | 15.2 | 0.61 | C | 20.5 | 0.71 | B | 14.9 | 0.58 | C | 24.9 | 0.79 | F | 60.5 | 1.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Halfway House Road | EB | A | 9.9 | 0.24 | B | 12.5 | 0.38 | C | 17.3 | 0.55 | B | 13.0 | 0.43 | C | 18.1 | 0.57 | E | 45.0 | 0.88 |
|  | WB | A | 9.2 | 0.05 | B | 10.8 | 0.14 | B | 13.0 | 0.26 | B | 10.3 | 0.09 | B | 12.7 | 0.20 | C | 19.2 | 0.43 |
| Old County Road | NB | B | 11.9 | 0.45 | C | 16.4 | 0.59 | C | 22.7 | 0.69 | C | 16.1 | 0.58 | D | 28.8 | 0.78 | F | 75.9 | 1.03 |
|  | SB | B | 11.2 | 0.42 | C | 16.4 | 0.61 | C | 22.9 | 0.71 | C | 15.6 | 0.58 | D | 28.3 | 0.79 | F | 72.7 | 1.02 |

TABLE 2-4
Intersection Operation Summary - Queues (In Feet)

|  | Lane Use | Available Storage | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  | Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2022 <br> Existing |  | $2050$ <br> Future |  | 2050 <br> Future with Development |  | $2022$ <br> Existing |  | $2050$ <br> Future |  | 2050 <br> Future with Development |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $50^{\text {th }}$ | 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ | 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ | $95^{\text {th }}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ | 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ | $95^{\text {th }}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ | $95^{\text {th }}$ |
| Traffic Signal - Route 75 (Ella Grasso Turnpike) at Route 20 EB Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Route 20 EB Off-Ramp | EB | >1000 | 17 | 43 | 40 | 73 | 48 | 83 | 43 | 87 | 73 | 126 | 79 | 134 |
|  | NBL | 70 | 6 | 28 | 8 | 33 | 8 | 33 | 7 | 20 | 10 | 28 | 11 | 28 |
| Route 75 (Ella Grasso | NBT | 215 | 9 | 31 | 14 | 39 | 15 | 40 | 20 | 40 | 32 | 62 | 33 | 64 |
| Turnpike) | SBT | 535 | 9 | 21 | 19 | 35 | 19 | 35 | 12 | 18 | 28 | 74 | 57 | 97 |
|  | SBR | 300 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 64 | 126 | 133 |
| Traffic Signal - Route 75 (Ella Grasso Turnpike) at Route 20 WB Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WBLT | 190 | 22 | 11 | 38 | 17 | 32 | 17 | 28 | 55 | 17 | 39 | 16 | 34 |
| Route 20 WB Off-Ramp | WBR | >1000 | 0 | 62 | 23 | 107 | 56 | 238 | 48 | 152 | 201 | 423 | 228 | 468 |
|  | NBL | 75 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 18 | 8 | 19 | 3 | 13 | 12 | 34 | 13 | 37 |
| Route 75 (Ella Grasso | NBT | 565 | 10 | 27 | 13 | 39 | 35 | 43 | 28 | 50 | 110 | 157 | 121 | 171 |
| Turnpike) | SBT | >1000 | 24 | 71 | 40 | 106 | 70 | 115 | 45 | 93 | 140 | 196 | 172 | 240 |
|  | SBR | 90 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 18 | 13 | 29 |
| Traffic Signal - Route 75 (Ella Grasso Turnpike) at Halfway House Road/LAZ Parking Driveway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LAZ Parking Driveway | EB | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 7 | 8 | 23 | 15 | 30 | 12 |
| Halfway House Road | WB | 785 | 0 | 40 | 5 | 51 | 56 | 104 | 75 | 25 | 90 | 29 | 104 | 32 |
|  | NB | >1000 | 32 | 93 | 80 | 127 | 118 | 192 | 75 | 196 | 181 | 302 | 225 | 427 |
| Turnpike) | SBL | 415 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
|  | SBTR | 915 | 81 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 18 | 14 | 24 | 16 | 26 |
| Traffic Signal - Route 75 (Ella Grasso Turnpike) at Route 401 (Schoephoester Road)/National Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Route 401 <br> (Schoephoester Road) | EBL | 375 | 25 | 49 | 33 | 60 | 35 | 63 | 67 | 110 | 80 | 129 | 81 | 130 |
|  | EBLT | 375 | 25 | 30 | 33 | 37 | 35 | 40 | 67 | 86 | 80 | 100 | 83 | 103 |
|  | EBR | 220 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 23 | 5 | 31 | 11 | 37 |
| National Drive | WBL | 200 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 16 | 10 | 17 | 4 | 16 | 5 | 21 | 5 | 21 |
|  | WBTR | 150 | 4 | 21 | 6 | 25 | 7 | 29 | 12 | 22 | 16 | 29 | 19 | 32 |
|  | NBL | 450 | 107 | 143 | 121 | 176 | 115 | 181 | 135 | 313 | 132 | 367 | 126 | 368 |
| Route 75 (Ella Grasso Turnpike) | NBTR | 920 | 31 | 121 | 68 | 174 | 114 | 206 | 76 | 173 | 53 | 218 | 154 | 247 |
|  | SBL | >1000 | 7 | 9 | 16 | 14 | 32 | 23 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 18 | 26 | 32 |
|  | SBT | >1000 | 71 | 130 | 116 | 154 | 128 | 155 | 106 | 144 | 154 | 176 | 161 | 200 |
|  | SBR | 400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Traffic Signal - Route 401 (Schoephoester Road) at Light Lane/Airport Service Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Route 401 | EBL | 170 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 12 | 8 | 16 | 12 | 24 | 12 | 24 |
| (Schoephoester Road) | EBTR | >1000 | 18 | 25 | 22 | 29 | 22 | 30 | 44 | 65 | 61 | 91 | 62 | 93 |
|  | WBL | 120 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
|  | WBTR | 350 | 26 | 44 | 32 | 53 | 33 | 54 | 42 | 73 | 57 | 101 | 58 | 102 |
| Airport Service Road | NB | 470 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 6 | 54 | 18 | 54 | 18 |
| Light Lane | SBLT | $>1000$ | 4 | 18 | 9 | 28 | 9 | 28 | 20 | 22 | 36 | 32 | 36 | 32 |
|  | SBR | 200 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 |


| Route 140 (Elm Street) | WBL | 155 | 49 | 80 | 59 | 88 | 60 | 89 | 66 | 112 | 79 | 127 | 82 | 129 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WBR | 400 | 0 | 41 | 37 | 74 | 55 | 91 | 25 | 59 | 49 | 99 | 56 | 109 |
| Route 75 (Ella Grasso Turnpike) | NB | >1000 | 89 | 38 | 120 | 45 | 37 | 50 | 194 | 103 | 272 | 125 | 290 | 128 |
|  | SBL | 675 | 24 | 54 | 31 | 77 | 35 | 83 | 41 | 109 | 114 | 294 | 134 | 335 |
|  | SBT | 880 | 20 | 39 | 28 | 59 | 34 | 68 | 26 | 51 | 40 | 78 | 47 | 93 |

Unsignalized TWSC - Route 140 (Elm Street) at Old County Road

| Route 140 (Elm Street) | WBL | >1000 | -- | 5 | -- | 5 | -- | 8 | -- | 5 | -- | 8 | -- | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NBL | $>1000$ | -- | 40 | -- | 60 | -- | 68 | -- | 65 | -- | 108 | -- | 125 |
| Old County Road | NBR | 50 | -- | 5 | -- | 8 | -- | 8 | -- | 8 | -- | 10 | -- | 10 |


| Halfway House Road | EB | 565 | -- | 23 | -- | 45 | -- | 83 | -- | 53 | -- | 88 | -- | 225 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WB | 355 | -- | 3 | -- | 13 | -- | 25 | -- | 8 | -- | 20 | -- | 50 |
| Old County Road | NB | 385 | -- | 57 | -- | 95 | -- | 135 | -- | 95 | - | 183 | -- | 340 |
|  | SB | 680 | -- | 53 | -- | 102 | -- | 145 | -- | 93 | -- | 185 | -- | 335 |



## Section 3

## 2050 Future Conditions with Development

The Route 20 study area offers significant development potential anchored by Bradley Airport and facilitated by the transportation system infrastructure and surrounding communities. The study team developed a future potential development scenario based on the following:

- Market analysis conducted during the Existing Conditions Assessment
- Discussions with the Town, TAC, and stakeholders
- Review of the public survey results
- Review of vacant or underutilized sites
- Review of current zoning regulations

Potential developments were then translated into projected site traffic volumes and added to the 2050 Future Conditions traffic volumes to develop the 2050 Future Conditions with Development traffic volumes. Further information on the potential development scenario and the resulting traffic volumes and analyses are provided in the following sections.

### 3.1 Potential Development

The following sections detail the market analysis and outreach efforts undertaken by the study team that informed the development the potential development scenario. The development scenario and associated traffic volumes are then presented.

### 3.1.1 Market Analysis

The land use and market analysis completed during the Existing Conditions Assessment provided important data that was reviewed to guide the potential development program. Projected future trends in housing and employment within Windsor Locks were reviewed to determine potential size and type of potential future development that the market can support. Projected employment growth within the Town of Windsor Locks and Hartford County is expected to translate into potential growth for sectors within the study area. The estimated square foot demand by industry sector based on the projected 10-year employment change is summarized in Table 3-1.

Based on results of market analysis and discussions with area brokers and active developers, demand for future development includes the following uses (other than residential):

- Large-scale distribution use
- Small industrial uses, such as an industrial park with independent uses
- Small medical, clinic, or outpatient service space
- Professional and personal service office space
- Scattered retail and restaurant opportunities

TABLE 3-1
Estimated Demand (SF) from 10-Year Employment Change

| Employment Projections by NAICS Industry Sectors |  | Average SF per FTE Employee | Estimated SF Demand ( 10 year employment change) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Windsor Locks | County |
| 22 | Utilities |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 23 | Construction | 150 | 4,050 | 126,600 |
| 31 | Manufacturing | 750 | 52,500 | 1,898,250 |
| 42 | Wholesale Trade | 525 | N/A | N/A |
| 44 | Retail Trade | 200 | N/A | N/A |
| 48 | Transportation/Warehousing | 495 | 285,615 | 3,136,815 |
| 51 | Information | 175 | 175 | 8,400 |
| 52 | Finance/Insurance | 275 | N/A | N/A |
| 53 | Real Estate | 200 | N/A | 18,600 |
| 54 | Professional Services | 195 | 4,680 | 660,855 |
| 55 | Management | 200 | N/A | 498,400 |
| 56 | Administrative Services | 200 | 6,400 | 437,200 |
| 61 | Education | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 62 | Health Care/Services | 150 | 182,100 | 2,817,750 |
| 71 | Arts, Entertainment, \& Recreation | 150 | 1,500 | 205,050 |
| 72 | Accommodation \& Food Services | 400 | N/A | 2,006,400 |
| 81 | Other (not government) | 300 | N/A | 504,000 |
| Total All Sectors |  | N/A | 537,020 | 12,318,320 |
| Source: EMSI and RKG (2023) |  | Office/Flex | Whse/Ind | Medical |

### 3.1.2 Outreach Efforts

In order to gain insight on the Town's desired future development scenario, outreach efforts included an online public survey and discussions with the Town. The online public survey was conducted to gather feedback on existing conditions, opportunities for improvements, and insights on future development within the study area to inform the Study recommendations. The following key themes were noted as they relate to land use and development:

- Desire for retail, restaurant, office, professional space, and mixed-use development.
- Increase utilization of parcels Mixed-use (Residential with retail/ fficee restaurants) along Route 75.
- Protect existing open space including Waterworks Conservation Area.
- Limit commercial development in residential areas.

What type of development would you like to see within the Study Area?


In addition to the general public survey, interviews with active developers within the study area were conducted to gather information on current development activities and future development plans. Because Bradley Airport is located adjacent to the study area, a meeting was also held with the Connecticut Airport Authority (CAA) to understand future potential development plans on parcels currently owned by the airport. Finally, discussions with Town staff further refined the potential future development scenario to align with the Town's development vision.

### 3.1.3 Future Development Scenario

The market data and input gathered from the Town and stakeholders assisted in the selection of the following preferred future potential development scenario:

- Residential/ Assisted Living: 165 units
- Hotel: 80 rooms
- Warehouse/ Industrial/ Business Park: 225,000 square feet
- Retail/ Medical/ Service: 37,000 square feet

While the potential development scenario encompasses parcels marketed for redevelopment, vacant, and underutilized parcels throughout the study area, it is important to note that specific parcels for potential development have not been identified at this time. The exact location of the development can vary based on property owner desires, the Town approval process, and public input. The following approximate location considerations were incorporated based on previous input received:

- Warehouse/ industrial uses are anticipated in proximity to the airport to support air cargo uses.
- Mixed-use development in the transition area between Route 75 and Old County Road.
- Residential development along Old County Road.

The potential development traffic volumes were estimated using rates published in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation, 11th Edition, 2021 based on the development scenario described above. In total, the development scenario has the potential to generate approximately 300 weekday morning and 400 weekday afternoon vehicle trips to the study area. These potential development traffic volumes were then distributed to the study area intersections based on existing travel patterns and approximate desired locations detailed above. The projected development traffic volumes for the weekday morning and weekday afternoon peak hours are shown in Figure 3-1. The ITE site generated traffic calculations are provided in Appendix B.

## $3.2 \mathbf{2 0 5 0}$ Future Traffic Volumes with Development

The 2050 Future Conditions with Development traffic volumes were developed by adding the potential development scenario traffic volumes to the 2050 Future Conditions traffic volumes. The potential development scenario estimates an increase in bi-directional traffic volumes of approximately $6 \%$ to $10 \%$ along much of Route 75 during both peak periods and lower growth of 3 to $5 \%$ on Route 140 and Old County Road during both peak periods as compared to the 2050 Future Conditions traffic volumes. Halfway House Road is Future Conditions Technical Memorandum
estimated to experience higher growth of approximately $15 \%$ as compared to the 2050 Future Conditions traffic volumes during both peak periods. A comparison between the 2022 Existing Conditions, 2050 Future Conditions, and 2050 Future Conditions with Development traffic volumes are shown in Tables 3-2 and 3-3 for the weekday morning and weekday afternoon peak hours, respectively.

The projected development traffic volumes were added to the 2050 Future Conditions traffic volumes to develop the 2050 Future with Development scenario traffic volumes for each study area intersection. The 2050 Future Conditions with Development traffic volumes at each study intersection are shown in Figure 3-2.

TABLE 3-2
Future Conditions with Development Traffic Volume Summary - Weekday Morning Peak Hour

| Location | $2022$ <br> Existing | $\begin{gathered} 2050 \\ \text { Future } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $2050$ <br> Future w/ Development | Approx (Exis Futur Develo Net Vol. | Change ng to with ment) <br> Percent | Approx (Fut <br> Futur <br> Devel <br> Net Vol. | Change e to with ment) <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Route 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South of Route 20 WB Ramps | 543 | 680 | 686 | 143 | 21.0\% | 6 | 0.9\% |
| Route 20 EB Ramps to Route 20 WB Ramps | 737 | 975 | 1,032 | 295 | 30.3\% | 57 | 5.8\% |
| Route 20 WB Ramps to Halfway House Rd | 1,091 | 1,395 | 1,527 | 437 | 31.3\% | 132 | 9.5\% |
| Halfway House Rd to Schoephoester Rd | 982 | 1,215 | 1,313 | 331 | 27.2\% | 98 | 8.0\% |
| Schoephoester Rd to Route 140 | 885 | 1,100 | 1,209 | 324 | 29.5\% | 109 | 9.9\% |
| North of Route 140 | 1,173 | 1,430 | 1,533 | 360 | 25.2\% | 103 | 7.2\% |
| Route 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Route 75 to Old County Rd | 568 | 660 | 681 | 114 | 17.2\% | 21 | 3.2\% |
| East of Old County Rd | 297 | 350 | 367 | 70 | 20.0\% | 17 | 4.9\% |
| Halfway House Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Route 75 to Old County Rd | 202 | 245 | 283 | 81 | 33.1\% | 38 | 15.5\% |
| Old County Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Route 140 to Halfway House Rd | 456 | 540 | 554 | 98 | 18.1\% | 14 | 2.6\% |
| South of Halfway House Rd | 569 | 670 | 697 | 128 | 19.1\% | 27 | 4.0\% |
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TABLE 3-3
Future Conditions with Development Traffic Volume Summary - Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour

| Location | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ \text { Existing } \end{gathered}$ | $2050$ <br> Future | 2050 <br> Future w/ Development | Approx. Change (Existing to Future with Development) |  | Approx. Change (Future to Future with Development) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Net Vol. | Percent | Net Vol. | Percent |
| Route 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South of Route 20 WB Ramps | 785 | 970 | 978 | 193 | 19.9\% | 8 | 0.8\% |
| Route 20 EB Ramps to Route 20 WB Ramps | 1,080 | 1,395 | 1,475 | 395 | 28.3\% | 80 | 5.7\% |
| Route 20 WB Ramps to Halfway House Rd | 1,508 | 1,930 | 2,083 | 575 | 29.8\% | 153 | 7.9\% |
| Halfway House Rd to Schoephoester Rd | 1,310 | 1,625 | 1,734 | 424 | 26.1\% | 109 | 6.7\% |
| Schoephoester Rd to Route 140 | 1,245 | 1,545 | 1,673 | 428 | 27.7\% | 128 | 8.3\% |
| North of Route 140 | 1,454 | 1,790 | 1,912 | 458 | 25.6\% | 122 | 6.8\% |
| Route 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Route 75 to Old County Rd | 769 | 895 | 922 | 154 | 17.2\% | 27 | 3.0\% |
| East of Old County Rd | 422 | 480 | 506 | 84 | 17.5\% | 26 | 5.4\% |
| Halfway House Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Route 75 to Old County Rd | 317 | 370 | 427 | 110 | 29.6\% | 57 | 15.3\% |
| Old County Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Route 140 to Halfway House Rd | 593 | 690 | 709 | 117 | 16.9\% | 19 | 2.8\% |
| South of Halfway House Rd | 747 | 870 | 907 | 160 | 18.4\% | 37 | 4.3\% |

### 3.32050 Future with Development Traffic Operations

Traffic operations at each study area intersections were analyzed for the 2050 Future with Development scenario based on the methodology as described in Section 2.2. Tables 2-3 and 2-4 summarize the estimated traffic operations at the study area intersections during each peak period in terms of LOS and queues. Figure 3-3 presents a visual representation of the overall LOS results at each study area intersection with the LOS color coded by letter. Capacity analysis worksheets for the 2050 Future Conditions traffic operations are included in Appendix A.

A majority of study intersections experience minor increases in delay as compared to the 2050 Future Conditions. Traffic signal timing splits were optimized where they were advantageous and resulted in all signalized intersections and approaches operating at LOS D or better. However, failing operations are experienced at the unsignalized intersections as follows:

- The northbound left movement at the intersection of Route 140 at Old County Road experiences a degradation in LOS from D to E as compared to the 2050 Future Conditions during the weekday afternoon peak hour.
- At the all-way stop-controlled intersection of Old County Road at Halfway House Road intersection degrades to overall LOS F operations during the weekday afternoon peak hour with the eastbound approach degrading from LOS C to E, and the northbound and southbound approaches each degrading from LOS D to F.

The average and design queues continue to be accommodated within available storage at each study area intersection.


## Section 4 <br> Pedestrians, Bicycles \& Transit

This section reviews future concerns and potential future opportunities for pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit users within the study area. As noted in the Existing Conditions Technical Memorandum, while improvements have been implemented in recent years, a number of existing facilities are not conducive to alternative modes of travel. Current future plans as well as recommendations developed during the remainder of this study seek to improve safety and mobility for pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit users.

### 4.1 Pedestrians

As noted in the Existing Conditions Technical Memorandum, pedestrian facilities in the study area have been significantly improved with the completion of LOTCIP Project \#L1650001 in 2019. However, gaps in the sidewalk network, sidewalk ramp deficiencies, and crossing deficiencies persist. A continuous sidewalk network along Old County Road and Halfway House Road will improve pedestrian safety and connectivity. Evidence of pedestrian desire path on the south side of Schoephoester Road indicates the need for sidewalk along this segment. A sidewalk on the east side of Route 75 south of Route 20 would provide pedestrian access to a hotel, restaurant, and bank in Windsor. Implementing traffic calming measures along study area roadways will improve pedestrian comfort at crossings at locations where pedestrians utilize the roadway shoulder. Potential locations for sidewalk infill and extensions were reviewed and grouped by priority based on adjacent land use, connectivity to nearby sidewalk networks, and evidence of current pedestrian activity. Figure 4-1 shows the priority areas for potential future sidewalk.

The previously completed online public survey completed during the Existing Conditions Assessment indicates the following related to pedestrian facilities within the study area:

- $28 \%$ of respondents currently walk through the study area some of the time.
- $60 \%$ of respondents are concerned with high vehicle speeds, $48 \%$ with general safety issues, and $33 \%$ with pedestrian and bicycle access.
- The most important issues for pedestrians were protection from vehicle traffic and the ability to safely cross study area roadways.
- Several comments mentioned pedestrian safety at the Old County and Halfway House Road intersection.
- There were also several comments about high vehicle speeds and truck traffic, both of which can discourage walking due to concerns about perception of safety and potential for severe crashes.

If you travel the Study Area by walking or biking, please rank the importance of the following.



Pedestrian safety and mobility are limited along Route 75 due to the high number of driveways, high traffic speeds, and limited crossing locations. There are multiple businesses on both sides of the roadway that attract pedestrians. Pedestrians are more likely to cross mid-block at unmarked crossings than traveling to a traffic signal that may be a quarter mile or more away. Therefore, creating more opportunities for pedestrians to safely cross Route 75 is critical for the future.

The following opportunities to improve conditions for pedestrians will be considered:

- Extend and infill sidewalk where gaps currently exist (see Figure 4-2).
- Upgrade non-compliant curb ramps on Old County Road.
- Install more safe dedicated crossings along Route 75, Old County Road, and Halfway House Road to increase crossing opportunities in conjunction with pedestrian refuge islands, a road diet, flashing beacons, or other measures to improve access and safety.


FIGURE 4-2
Existing Sidewalk Gap on Old County Road

- Connect sidewalks to future trail facilities.
- Install pedestrian facilities on private roads including National Drive and Corporate Drive.
- Implement traffic calming measures such as a road diet or curb extensions to create a more comfortable pedestrian experience.
- Require accessible pedestrian paths to connect streetside sidewalks to developments and allow for circulation within the site for all new developments and redevelopments as part of Town Zoning Regulations.
- Encourage development with a mix of uses on a single site to facilitate safe pedestrian access between businesses and residential areas, potentially reducing the frequency of crossing at unmarked locations.


### 4.2 Bicycles

There are currently no dedicated bicycle facilities in the study area. Although bicyclists may ride on the same roads as automobiles except where expressly prohibited, lessconfident cyclists will avoid situations with high traffic stress where vehicle speeds and volumes are high and mixing with traffic is necessary. The count data summarized in the Existing Conditions Assessment indicates limited bicycle usage, evidence that most residents are not willing to ride a bicycle on the study area roadways. Increased future bicycle activity may be encouraged by installing dedicated bicycle facilities to increase comfort and create greater access for cyclists of all levels. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Bikeway Selection Guide and Town/CTDOT standards will be utilized during the development of potential improvements to determine what types of facilities should be considered depending on roadway characteristics to reach the greatest number of potential users.

The online public survey indicates the following as they relate to bicycle facilities within the study area:

- $20 \%$ of respondents sometimes bike within the study area.
- $60 \%$ of survey responders are concerned about high vehicle speeds, $48 \%$ about general safety issues, and 33\% about pedestrian and bicycle Would you ride a bicycle more if there were more and safer ways to do so? access.
- Protection from vehicular traffic, ability to safely cross, and bicycle/pedestrian access were the top-3 highest ranked issues for biking and walking.
- 55\% would like to see improved bicycle accommodations in the area; $30 \%$ are unsure.
- $52 \%$ would ride a bicycle in the study
 area if there were more and safer ways to do so.
- Open-ended comments indicated a need for more bicycle-friendly facilities and concerns with high speeds, traffic volumes, and truck traffic.

The following opportunities to improve conditions for bicycle users will be considered:

- Improve safety and comfort for bicyclists on Old Country Road, Route 75, and Route 140, which are all part of the CRCOG on-road bike network, by providing dedicated and safe facilities.
- Enhance safety and comfort of existing 5-foot shoulder on Old County Road by providing protection from high-speed and heavy traffic and/or reduce speeds and heavy vehicle traffic on Old County Road via traffic calming.
- Widen shoulder or provide dedicated bike lanes on Route 75 and Schoephoester Road through the implementation of a road diet or roadway widening.
- Review the FHWA Bikeway Selection Guide (see Figure 4-3) to determine the most appropriate bicycle facility to reach the most potential users; due to the amount of traffic and speeds, a separated/protected bike lane would likely be needed on Route 75.
- Consider requiring bicycle parking for all new developments through Town Zoning regulations.
- Connect new bike facilities to future multi-use trails.
- Encourage stakeholders to make adding bicycle facilities on Route 75 a high priority.
- Ensure traffic signals can be adequately actuated by bicycle users when riding on the road or in future bike facilities.


FIGURE 4-3
FHWA Bikeway Selection Process \& Guide Outline

### 4.3 Trails

There is potential to better connect the study area with multi-use trails and paths to provide better transportation options to the area and recreational activities for local residents.

The following public survey questions include responses relevant to trails:

- $62 \%$ of responders support a shared path connecting the study area to points east, such as Town Center and the new train station.
- Several comments in the survey support better access to existing trails and the need for more trails, parks, and open space areas.


The following opportunities for future trail development will be considered:

- Potential for trail alignment within the Route 20 right-of-way to connect from the study area to the Waterworks Park trails.
- Connect future trails to existing bicycle, pedestrian, and trail facilities including existing Town park trails and the Windsor Locks Canal Trail.
- Potential trail alignments or spurs should connect to conceptual future trails such as the Windsor Bikeway and Connecticut River Trail.


FIGURE 4-4
Existing Waterworks Park \& Open Trail

- Potential trails to connect to the Town Center and future Windsor Locks Train Station.

Potential trail alignments and connections to existing and planned trails and points of interest are shown on Figure 4-5.


### 4.4 Transit

Local and regional express transit service is currently provided by CTtransit via three distinct routes within the study area. Transit services provide residents access to employment, retail, and leisure. However, bus service is generally infrequent and many bus stops lack proper amenities or even sidewalks to safely support transit usage.

The results from the public survey include information relevant to transit concerns and user habits:

- $3 \%$ of responders sometimes travel through the area by bus.
- Lack of or infrequency of bus service is a concern for $10 \%$ of respondents.
- Approximately $23 \%$ of respondents would ride the bus more if there were expanded routes, more frequent routes/stops, or improved bus stop amenities.
- Multiple comments support enhancing transit through increasing service to adjacent towns and/ or improving amenities such as shelters or sidewalks.

Recent CTtransit bus route changes within the study area include modification of the Routes 30 and 34 and the creation of Route 24 to replace service previously provided by Routes 34 \& 905. The most recent transit data shows that ridership decreased significantly during the COVID-19 pandemic but has since shown signs of returning to pre-pandemic ridership numbers.

The Windsor Locks Train station is proposed to be relocated from its current location on South Main Street to Main Street in the Windsor Locks Town Center. The future station will be located approximately one mile north of the current train station and will be close to a number of local businesses and commercial areas downtown. A loading/unloading area for connecting bus service to Bradley International Airport is included, as well as a multiuse path to connect to the Windsor Locks Canal Trail which is just across the train tracks and canal. The new station is expected to be completed in 2024. As noted in the existing conditions assessment, CTtransit is considering expanding service on Bus Route 24 to include weekend service and to connect with all trains in both directions with the new train station.


FIGURE 4-6
Future Windsor Locks Train Station

The following opportunities to improve transit in the study area will be considered:

- Support the recent changes to the transit network implemented by CTtransit by continuing to monitor ridership levels and seek opportunities to improve services.
- Install more transit amenities including shelters, benches, trash cans, transit information at transit stops within the study area.
- Install sidewalks at bus stops where none currently exist along Old County Road, Schoephoester Road, and Halfway House Road.
- Ensure transit connection with regular service to the future new train station.
- Transit-oriented development should be considered as part of future development opportunities within the study area.

In addition to the improvements within the study area, the CRCOG Connect 2045 MTP also recommends moving transit faster in the region and extending the CTfastrak service to Bradley International Airport. This will allow more people to get to the study area quickly via transit.

## Section 5 <br> Conclusions \& Next Steps

This technical memorandum has reviewed future conditions within the study area. The traffic operations analysis included an assessment of traffic operations in the 2050 Future year both with and without a potential development scenario and without any changes to roadway geometry and intersection control. In addition, pedestrian, bicycle, transit concerns in the future were reviewed. The future concerns and deficiencies noted in this memorandum will serve as the basis for future recommendations.

Additional feedback will be gathered during the upcoming Technical Advisor Committee and Public Information Meetings to be held in late May and June, respectively. Feedback solicited during these meetings as well as the previous work completed will set the stage for the Analysis of Alternatives phase of the Study. During this phase, conceptual improvements will be developed to address existing and future concerns and deficiencies. Following the Analysis of Alternatives, the Study will culminate with a Transportation Improvement and Development Management Plan that will summarize the work completed during the study and prioritize the recommended improvements.

101: Route 75 \& Route 20 EB Ramps/Private Driveway
2050 Future Conditions - Optimized Weekday AM Peak

|  | 4 |  | $\square$ |  |  |  | $4$ | $\dagger$ | $>$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 中4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 70 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 360 | 300 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 70 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 360 | 300 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 70 |  | 0 | 80 |  | 300 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 55 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.966 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.964 |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1878 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1662 | 3438 | 0 | 1717 | 3292 | 1346 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.964 |  |  |  |  | 0.509 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1878 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 890 | 3438 | 0 | 1717 | 3292 | 1346 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 319 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 35 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 394 |  |  | 120 |  |  | 257 |  |  | 652 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.7 |  |  | 3.3 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 12.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.71 | 0.92 | 0.60 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.85 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.87 | 0.94 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 9\% | 7\% | 0\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 5\% | 5\% | 7\% | 7\% | 6\% | 16\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 99 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 414 | 319 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 414 | 319 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA |  |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.2 | 24.2 |  |  |  |  | 20.4 | 20.4 |  | 20.4 | 20.4 | 20.4 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  | 45.0 | 45.0 |  | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 35.7\% | 35.7\% |  |  |  |  | 64.3\% | 64.3\% |  | 64.3\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.1 | 4.1 |  | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.2 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.2 |  |  |  |  | 5.1 | 5.1 |  | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  |  |  |  | C-Max | C-Max |  | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 10.2 |  |  |  |  | 52.9 | 52.9 |  |  | 52.9 | 52.9 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.15 |  |  |  |  | 0.76 | 0.76 |  |  | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.44 |  |  |  |  | 0.12 | 0.11 |  |  | 0.17 | 0.29 |
| Control Delay |  | 23.8 |  |  |  |  | 5.1 | 3.9 |  |  | 3.0 | 1.1 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 23.8 |  |  |  |  | 5.1 | 3.9 |  |  | 3.0 | 1.1 |
| LOS |  | C |  |  |  |  | A | A |  |  | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 23.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
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101: Route 75 \& Route 20 EB Ramps/Private Driveway 2050 Future Conditions - Optimized Weekday AM Peak


|  | 4 |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  | $4$ |  |  | $t$ |  | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 |  |  | 中4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 10 | 480 | 40 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 620 | 100 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 10 | 480 | 40 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 620 | 100 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（ft） | 12 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 190 | 75 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 90 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.972 |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1662 | 1468 | 1662 | 3406 | 0 | 0 | 3144 | 1382 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.972 |  | 0.401 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1662 | 1468 | 701 | 3406 | 0 | 0 | 3144 | 1382 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  | 482 |  |  |  |  |  | 120 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 591 |  |  | 524 |  |  | 652 |  |  | 2293 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 13.4 |  |  | 11.9 |  |  | 12.7 |  |  | 44.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0.89 | 0.84 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.83 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 13\％ | 0\％ | 10\％ | 5\％ | 6\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 11\％ | 13\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 40 | 539 | 48 | 359 | 0 | 0 | 660 | 120 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 539 | 48 | 359 | 0 | 0 | 660 | 120 |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Split | NA | Prot | Perm | NA |  |  | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） |  |  |  | 12.1 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 20.4 | 20.4 |  |  | 20.4 | 20.4 |
| Total Split（s） |  |  |  | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |  |  | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split（\％） |  |  |  | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ |  |  | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| All－Red Time（s） |  |  |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  |  |  |  | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.4 |  |  | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode |  |  |  | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max |  |  | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） |  |  |  |  | 11.7 | 11.7 | 47.8 | 47.8 |  |  | 47.8 | 47.8 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.68 | 0.68 |  |  | 0.68 | 0.68 |
| v／c Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.34 | 0.83 | 0.10 | 0.15 |  |  | 0.31 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay |  |  |  |  | 27.0 | 16.5 | 5.0 | 4.1 |  |  | 5.8 | 1.8 |
| Queue Delay |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  |  |  |  | 27.0 | 16.5 | 5.0 | 4.1 |  |  | 5.8 | 1.8 |
| LOS |  |  |  |  | C | B | A | A |  |  | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  |  | 18.0 |  |  | 4.2 |  |  | 5.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
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102: Route 75 \& Route 20 WB On Ramp/Route 20 WB Off Ramp
2050 Future Conditions - Optimized Weekday AM Peak


103: Route 75 \& LAZFly Driveway/Halfway House Road 2050 Future Conditions - Optimized Weekday AM Peak

|  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  | $4$ | $4$ |  |  | ( | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  |  | \& |  |  | * $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 虫 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 10 | 60 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 680 | 70 | 30 | 490 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 10 | 60 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 680 | 70 | 30 | 490 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 415 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 50 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.865 |  |  | 0.964 |  |  | 0.983 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.965 |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1808 | 0 | 0 | 1959 | 0 | 0 | 3293 | 0 | 1597 | 3282 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.758 |  |  |  |  | 0.317 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1808 | 0 | 0 | 1539 | 0 | 0 | 3293 | 0 | 533 | 3282 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 293 |  |  | 102 |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 250 |  |  | 258 |  |  | 2293 |  |  | 1019 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.8 |  |  | 5.9 |  |  | 44.7 |  |  | 19.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.25 | 0.72 | 0.92 | 0.67 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.86 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 0\% | 2\% | 7\% | 3\% | 7\% | 8\% | 6\% | 13\% | 10\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 40 | 83 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 773 | 100 | 40 | 570 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 873 | 0 | 40 | 570 | 0 |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  | NA |  | D.P+P | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 12 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 9.5 |  | 9.5 | 9.5 |  | 21.5 | 21.5 |  | 9.0 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  | 9.0 |  |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 38.8\% | 38.8\% |  | 38.8\% | 38.8\% |  | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |  | 11.3\% |  |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |  | 3.0 |  |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 6.5 |  | 4.0 |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lag | Lag |  | Lead |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | C-Max | C-Max |  | None |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 6.1 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 60.7 |  | 64.9 | 68.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.08 |  |  | 0.08 |  |  | 0.76 |  | 0.81 | 0.85 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.10 |  |  | 0.54 |  |  | 0.35 |  | 0.08 | 0.20 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 0.5 |  |  | 19.3 |  |  | 5.1 |  | 1.0 | 1.2 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 0.5 |  |  | 19.3 |  |  | 5.1 |  | 1.0 | 1.2 |  |
| LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 0.5 |  |  | 19.3 |  |  | 5.1 |  |  | 1.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
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103: Route 75 \& LAZFly Driveway/Halfway House Road
2050 Future Conditions - Optimized Weekday AM Peak


|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $4$ | $\dagger$ | 7 | （ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 中4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 90 | 10 | 90 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 210 | 480 | 10 | 10 | 410 | 110 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 90 | 10 | 90 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 210 | 480 | 10 | 10 | 410 | 110 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（ft） | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 |  | 220 | 200 |  | 150 | 450 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 400 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.909 |  |  | 0.993 |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | 0.966 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1417 | 1505 | 1311 | 1306 | 1444 | 0 | 1671 | 3216 | 0 | 1530 | 3223 | 1568 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | 0.966 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1417 | 1505 | 1311 | 1306 | 1444 | 0 | 1671 | 3216 | 0 | 1530 | 3223 | 1568 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 130 |  | 20 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  | 251 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 35 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 466 |  |  | 418 |  |  | 1019 |  |  | 1839 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 9.1 |  |  | 11.4 |  |  | 19.9 |  |  | 35.8 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.78 | 0.50 | 0.69 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.78 | 0.95 | 0.44 | 0.31 | 0.84 | 0.93 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 17\％ | 0\％ | 15\％ | 29\％ | 11\％ | 12\％ | 8\％ | 12\％ | 0\％ | 18\％ | 12\％ | 3\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 115 | 20 | 130 | 20 | 13 | 20 | 269 | 505 | 23 | 32 | 488 | 118 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） | 42\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 67 | 68 | 130 | 20 | 33 | 0 | 269 | 528 | 0 | 32 | 488 | 118 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pt＋ov | Split | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 8 | 8 | 18 | 4 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 | 18 | 4 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 15.0 |  | 5.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 12.7 | 12.7 |  | 9.8 | 9.8 |  | 10.1 | 20.8 |  | 9.0 | 20.6 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 22.0 | 22.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 18.0 | 30.0 |  | 18.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 27．5\％ | 27．5\％ |  | 12．5\％ | 12．5\％ |  | 22．5\％ | 37．5\％ |  | 22．5\％ | 37．5\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.0 | 4.4 |  | 3.0 | 4.4 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.7 | 2.7 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 2.1 | 1.4 |  | 1.0 | 1.2 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.7 | 5.7 |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  | 5.1 | 5.8 |  | 4.0 | 5.6 |  |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C－Min |  | None | C－Min |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 8.9 | 8.9 | 30.7 | 6.2 | 6.2 |  | 18.6 | 51.6 |  | 6.2 | 31.5 | 80.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.38 | 0.08 | 0.08 |  | 0.23 | 0.64 |  | 0.08 | 0.39 | 1.00 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.25 |  | 0.69 | 0.25 |  | 0.27 | 0.38 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 40.9 | 39.8 | 3.7 | 38.4 | 24.9 |  | 34.3 | 10.9 |  | 36.7 | 26.8 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 40.9 | 39.8 | 3.7 | 38.4 | 24.9 |  | 34.3 | 10.9 |  | 36.7 | 26.8 | 0.1 |
| LOS | D | D | A | D | C |  | C | B |  | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 22.4 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 18.8 |  |  | 22.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |

104: Route 75 \& Route 401 (Schoephoester Road)/National Road
2050 Future Conditions - Optimized Weekday AM Peak


Splits and Phases: 104: Route 75 \& Route 401 (Schoephoester Road)/National Road


105：Airport Servuce Road／Light Lane \＆Route 401 （Schoephoester Road）
2050 Future Conditions－Optimized Weekday AM Peak

|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $4$ | $\dagger$ |  | （ |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 㻢 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 60 | 170 | 20 | 10 | 300 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 70 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 60 | 170 | 20 | 10 | 300 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 70 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（ft） | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 14 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 170 |  | 0 | 120 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 200 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 40 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.984 |  |  | 0.988 |  |  | 0.939 |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.973 |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1805 | 3460 | 0 | 1631 | 3347 | 0 | 0 | 1660 | 0 | 0 | 1735 | 1706 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.531 |  |  | 0.584 |  |  |  | 0.821 |  |  | 0.728 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1009 | 3460 | 0 | 1002 | 3347 | 0 | 0 | 1401 | 0 | 0 | 1329 | 1706 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 21 |  |  | 15 |  |  | 92 |  |  |  | 106 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 624 |  |  | 466 |  |  | 420 |  |  | 346 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 12.2 |  |  | 9.1 |  |  | 11.5 |  |  | 7.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.69 | 0.50 | 0.88 | 0.67 | 0.83 | 0.92 | 0.50 | 0.63 | 0.92 | 0.66 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 0\％ | 3\％ | 0\％ | 7\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 0\％ | 100\％ | 33\％ | 11\％ | 7\％ | 1\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 86 | 243 | 29 | 20 | 341 | 30 | 24 | 0 | 20 | 16 | 0 | 106 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 86 | 272 | 0 | 20 | 371 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 106 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 15.0 |  | 5.0 | 15.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 21.6 |  | 9.0 | 21.6 |  | 12.1 | 12.1 |  | 12.1 | 12.1 | 12.1 |
| Total Split（s） | 9.0 | 53.9 |  | 9.0 | 53.9 |  | 27.1 | 27.1 |  | 27.1 | 27.1 | 27.1 |
| Total Split（\％） | 10．0\％ | 59．9\％ |  | 10．0\％ | 59．9\％ |  | 30．1\％ | 30．1\％ |  | 30．1\％ | 30．1\％ | 30．1\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 4.4 |  | 3.0 | 4.4 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 2.2 |  | 1.0 | 2.2 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 6.6 |  | 4.0 | 6.6 |  |  | 5.1 |  |  | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Min |  | None | C－Min |  | None | None |  | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 72.7 | 66.8 |  | 72.5 | 66.8 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.81 | 0.74 |  | 0.81 | 0.74 |  |  | 0.08 |  |  | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.11 |  | 0.02 | 0.15 |  |  | 0.22 |  |  | 0.15 | 0.45 |
| Control Delay | 2.0 | 4.5 |  | 1.8 | 4.8 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 41.0 | 14.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 2.0 | 4.5 |  | 1.8 | 4.8 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 41.0 | 14.4 |
| LOS | A | A |  | A | A |  |  | A |  |  | D | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 3.9 |  |  | 4.6 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 17.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |

Route 20 Corridor Study
Synchro 11 Report
Tighe \＆Bond
Lanes，Volumes，Timings


106：Route 75 \＆Route 140 （Elm Street）
2050 Future Conditions－Optimized Weekday AM Peak

| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 「＇ | 中 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  | \％ | 中4 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 100 | 250 | 480 | 70 | 260 | 440 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 100 | 250 | 480 | 70 | 260 | 440 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（ft） | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 11 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 | 400 |  | 0 | 675 |  |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  |  | 35 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.850 | 0.980 |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1711 | 1459 | 3243 | 0 | 1589 | 3202 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.394 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1711 | 1459 | 3243 | 0 | 659 | 3202 |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 190 | 25 |  |  |  |
| Link Speed（mph） | 40 |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |
| Link Distance（ft） | 300 |  | 1839 |  |  | 990 |
| Travel Time（s） | 5.1 |  | 35.8 |  |  | 19.3 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.80 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.84 | 0.94 | 0.89 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 7\％ | 10\％ | 3\％ | 6\％ | 9\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 125 | 287 | 552 | 83 | 277 | 494 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 125 | 287 | 635 | 0 | 277 | 494 |
| Turn Type | Prot | pt＋ov | NA |  | D．P＋P | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 14 | 2 |  | 1 | 12 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 9.0 |  | 15.0 |  | 5.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 |  | 20.9 |  | 9.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 25.0 |  | 39.0 |  | 16.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 31．3\％ |  | 48．8\％ |  | 20．0\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 |  | 4.4 |  | 3.0 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 |  | 5.9 |  | 4.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag |  |  | Lag |  | Lead |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  | C－Max |  | None |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 12.1 | 24.4 | 45.7 |  | 55.9 | 59.9 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.30 | 0.57 |  | 0.70 | 0.75 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.34 |  | 0.50 | 0.21 |
| Control Delay | 36.7 | 10.1 | 7.1 |  | 7.0 | 3.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 36.7 | 10.1 | 7.1 |  | 7.0 | 3.6 |
| LOS | D | B | A |  | A | A |
| Approach Delay | 18.2 |  | 7.1 |  |  | 4.8 |
| Approach LOS | B |  | A |  |  | A |

Route 20 Corridor Study
Synchro 11 Report
Tighe \＆Bond

106: Route 75 \& Route 140 (Elm Street)
2050 Future Conditions - Optimized Weekday AM Peak


201: Old County Road \& Route 140 (Elm Street) 2050 Future Conditions - Optimized Weekday AM Peak



202: Old County Road \& Halfway House Road 2050 Future Conditions - Optimized Weekday AM Peak

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 15.2 |
| Intersection LOS | C |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 40 | 10 | 100 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 90 | 220 | 0 | 10 | 250 | 60 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 40 | 10 | 100 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 90 | 220 | 0 | 10 | 250 | 60 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.62 | 0.25 | 0.79 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.25 | 0.74 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.25 | 0.84 | 0.86 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 5 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 50 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 65 | 40 | 127 | 20 | 17 | 40 | 122 | 256 | 0 | 40 | 298 | 70 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 12.5 |  |  | 10.8 |  |  | 16.4 |  |  | 16.4 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $29 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $71 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 310 | 150 | 30 | 320 |
| LT Vol | 90 | 40 | 10 | 10 |
| Through Vol | 220 | 10 | 10 | 250 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 100 | 10 | 60 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 377 | 231 | 77 | 407 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.587 | 0.378 | 0.143 | 0.606 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 5.595 | 5.882 | 6.653 | 5.355 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 642 | 608 | 542 | 668 |
| Service Time | 3.668 | 3.968 | 4.653 | 3.429 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.587 | 0.38 | 0.142 | 0.609 |
| HCM Control Delay | 16.4 | 12.5 | 10.8 | 16.4 |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | B | B | C |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 3.8 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 4.1 |

101: Route 75 \& Route 20 EB Ramps/Private Driveway 2050 Future Conditions - Optimized Weekday PM peak

|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | $4$ | 9 | $p$ | ( |  | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 130 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 470 | 0 | 0 | 420 | 380 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 130 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 470 | 0 | 0 | 420 | 380 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 70 |  | 0 | 80 |  | 300 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 55 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.968 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.963 |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1948 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1694 | 3505 | 0 | 1717 | 3421 | 1473 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.963 |  |  |  |  | 0.484 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1948 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 863 | 3505 | 0 | 1717 | 3421 | 1473 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 514 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 35 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 394 |  |  | 120 |  |  | 257 |  |  | 652 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.7 |  |  | 3.3 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 12.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.80 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.82 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.74 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 3\% | 3\% | 7\% | 7\% | 2\% | 6\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 163 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 490 | 0 | 0 | 467 | 514 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 490 | 0 | 0 | 467 | 514 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA |  |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.2 | 24.2 |  |  |  |  | 20.4 | 20.4 |  | 20.4 | 20.4 | 20.4 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  | 45.0 | 45.0 |  | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 35.7\% | 35.7\% |  |  |  |  | 64.3\% | 64.3\% |  | 64.3\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.1 | 4.1 |  | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.2 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.2 |  |  |  |  | 5.1 | 5.1 |  | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  |  |  |  | C-Max | C-Max |  | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 11.3 |  |  |  |  | 48.4 | 48.4 |  |  | 48.4 | 48.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.16 |  |  |  |  | 0.69 | 0.69 |  |  | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.63 |  |  |  |  | 0.14 | 0.20 |  |  | 0.20 | 0.44 |
| Control Delay |  | 30.8 |  |  |  |  | 5.3 | 4.6 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.3 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 30.8 |  |  |  |  | 5.3 | 4.6 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.3 |
| LOS |  | C |  |  |  |  | A | A |  |  | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 30.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.7 |  |  | 6.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |

Route 20 Corridor Study
Synchro 11 Report
Tighe \& Bond
Lanes, Volumes, Timings

101: Route 75 \& Route 20 EB Ramps/Private Driveway
2050 Future Conditions - Optimized Weekday PM peak


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 |  |  | 个4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 650 | 30 | 560 | 0 | 0 | 740 | 120 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 650 | 30 | 560 | 0 | 0 | 740 | 120 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（ft） | 12 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 190 | 75 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 90 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Fit |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1694 | 1509 | 1711 | 3505 | 0 | 0 | 3355 | 1487 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  | 0.276 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1694 | 1509 | 497 | 3505 | 0 | 0 | 3355 | 1487 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  | 133 |  |  |  |  |  | 162 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 591 |  |  | 524 |  |  | 652 |  |  | 2293 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 13.4 |  |  | 11.9 |  |  | 12.7 |  |  | 44.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.76 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.84 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.68 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 3\％ | 0\％ | 7\％ | 2\％ | 3\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 4\％ | 5\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 684 | 36 | 583 | 0 | ， | 771 | 176 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 684 | 36 | 583 | 0 | 0 | 771 | 176 |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Split | NA | Prot | Perm | NA |  |  | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（ s ） |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） |  |  |  | 12.1 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 20.4 | 20.4 |  |  | 20.4 | 20.4 |
| Total Split（s） |  |  |  | 39.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |  |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Total Split（\％） |  |  |  | 55．7\％ | 55．7\％ | 55．7\％ | 44．3\％ | 44．3\％ |  |  | 44．3\％ | 44．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| All－Red Time（s） |  |  |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  |  |  |  | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.4 |  |  | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode |  |  |  | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max |  |  | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） |  |  |  |  | 30.9 | 30.9 | 28.6 | 28.6 |  |  | 28.6 | 28.6 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.41 | 0.41 |  |  | 0.41 | 0.41 |
| v／c Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.11 | 0.92 | 0.18 | 0.41 |  |  | 0.56 | 0.25 |
| Control Delay |  |  |  |  | 10.5 | 34.6 | 16.7 | 15.9 |  |  | 18.8 | 4.6 |
| Queue Delay |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  |  |  |  | 10.5 | 34.6 | 16.7 | 15.9 |  |  | 18.8 | 4.6 |
| LOS |  |  |  |  | B | C | B | B |  |  | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  |  | 32.1 |  |  | 15.9 |  |  | 16.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |

Route 20 Corridor Study
Synchro 11 Report
Tighe \＆Bond

102: Route 75 \& Route 20 WB On Ramp/Route 20 WB Off Ramp
2050 Future Conditions - Optimized Weekday PM peak


Splits and Phases: 102: Route 75 \& Route 20 WB On Ramp/Route 20 WB Off Ramp


103: Route 75 \& LAZFly Driveway/Halfway House Road 2050 Future Conditions - Optimized Weekday PM peak

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | $t$ | $\frac{1}{7}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | $\$$ |  |  | * $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 10 | 10 | 10 | 100 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 890 | 130 | 30 | 650 | 10 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 10 | 10 | 10 | 100 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 890 | 130 | 30 | 650 | 10 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 415 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 50 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.951 |  |  | 0.973 |  |  | 0.980 |  |  | 0.996 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.984 |  |  | 0.971 |  |  | 0.998 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1956 | 0 | 0 | 1986 | 0 | 0 | 3354 | 0 | 1752 | 3446 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.871 |  |  | 0.803 |  |  | 0.899 |  | 0.200 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1731 | 0 | 0 | 1643 | 0 | 0 | 3021 | 0 | 369 | 3446 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 30 |  |  | 17 |  |  | 26 |  |  | 5 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 250 |  |  | 258 |  |  | 2293 |  |  | 1019 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.8 |  |  | 5.9 |  |  | 44.7 |  |  | 19.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.80 | 0.25 | 0.73 | 0.25 | 0.93 | 0.86 | 0.91 | 0.86 | 0.50 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 2\% | 3\% | 4\% | 17\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 26 | 26 | 30 | 125 | 40 | 41 | 40 | 957 | 151 | 33 | 756 | 20 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 1148 | 0 | 33 | 776 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | D.P+P | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 12 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 9.5 |  | 9.5 | 9.5 |  | 21.5 | 21.5 |  | 9.0 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  | 9.0 |  |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 38.8\% | 38.8\% |  | 38.8\% | 38.8\% |  | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |  | 11.3\% |  |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |  | 3.0 |  |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 6.5 |  | 4.0 |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lag | Lag |  | Lead |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | C-Max | C-Max |  | None |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 13.4 |  |  | 13.4 |  |  | 50.2 |  | 55.7 | 58.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.17 |  |  | 0.17 |  |  | 0.63 |  | 0.70 | 0.73 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.26 |  |  | 0.71 |  |  | 0.60 |  | 0.10 | 0.31 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 20.4 |  |  | 41.7 |  |  | 12.4 |  | 2.6 | 1.8 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 20.4 |  |  | 41.7 |  |  | 12.4 |  | 2.6 | 1.8 |  |
| LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | B |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 20.4 |  |  | 41.7 |  |  | 12.4 |  |  | 1.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |

103: Route 75 \& LAZFly Driveway/Halfway House Road
2050 Future Conditions - Optimized Weekday PM peak


|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | \％ | （ | $\frac{1}{\square}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 瑯 |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 中4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 250 | 20 | 200 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 310 | 590 | 20 | 10 | 500 | 150 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 250 | 20 | 200 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 310 | 590 | 20 | 10 | 500 | 150 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（ft） | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 |  | 220 | 200 |  | 150 | 450 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 400 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.932 |  |  | 0.994 |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | 0.961 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1609 | 1637 | 1409 | 1532 | 1653 | 0 | 1703 | 3328 | 0 | 1805 | 3438 | 1568 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | 0.961 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1609 | 1637 | 1409 | 1532 | 1653 | 0 | 1703 | 3328 | 0 | 1805 | 3438 | 1568 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 233 |  | 28 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  | 251 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 35 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 466 |  |  | 418 |  |  | 1019 |  |  | 1839 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 9.1 |  |  | 11.4 |  |  | 19.9 |  |  | 35.8 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 | 0.69 | 0.78 | 0.88 | 0.58 | 0.71 | 0.88 | 0.96 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.89 | 0.74 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 3\％ | 0\％ | 7\％ | 10\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 6\％ | 8\％ | 4\％ | 0\％ | 5\％ | 3\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 291 | 29 | 256 | 11 | 34 | 28 | 352 | 615 | 27 | 20 | 562 | 203 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） | 45\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 160 | 160 | 256 | 11 | 62 | 0 | 352 | 642 | 0 | 20 | 562 | 203 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pt＋ov | Split | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 8 | 8 | 18 | 4 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 | 18 | 4 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 15.0 |  | 5.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 12.7 | 12.7 |  | 9.8 | 9.8 |  | 10.1 | 20.8 |  | 9.0 | 20.6 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 22.0 | 22.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 18.0 | 30.0 |  | 18.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 27．5\％ | 27．5\％ |  | 12．5\％ | 12．5\％ |  | 22．5\％ | 37．5\％ |  | 22．5\％ | 37．5\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.0 | 4.4 |  | 3.0 | 4.4 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.7 | 2.7 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 2.1 | 1.4 |  | 1.0 | 1.2 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.7 | 5.7 |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  | 5.1 | 5.8 |  | 4.0 | 5.6 |  |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C－Min |  | None | C－Min |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 12.1 | 12.1 | 41.1 | 5.9 | 5.9 |  | 23.3 | 43.6 |  | 5.5 | 19.5 | 80.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.51 | 0.07 | 0.07 |  | 0.29 | 0.54 |  | 0.07 | 0.24 | 1.00 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.66 | 0.65 | 0.31 | 0.10 | 0.42 |  | 0.71 | 0.35 |  | 0.16 | 0.67 | 0.13 |
| Control Delay | 44.3 | 43.5 | 3.7 | 36.5 | 31.7 |  | 32.3 | 10.0 |  | 35.0 | 37.7 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 44.3 | 43.5 | 3.7 | 36.5 | 31.7 |  | 32.3 | 10.0 |  | 35.0 | 37.7 | 0.2 |
| LOS | D | D | A | D | C |  | C | B |  | C | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 26.1 |  |  | 32.4 |  |  | 17.9 |  |  | 27.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |

104: Route 75 \& Route 401 (Schoephoester Road)/National Road
2050 Future Conditions - Optimized Weekday PM peak


Splits and Phases: 104: Route 75 \& Route 401 (Schoephoester Road)/National Road


105：Airport Servuce Road／Light Lane \＆Route 401 （Schoephoester Road）
2050 Future Conditions－Optimized Weekday PM peak

|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | $4$ | $\dagger$ |  |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 㻢 |  |  | ＊ |  |  | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 90 | 430 | 20 | 10 | 440 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 130 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 90 | 430 | 20 | 10 | 440 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 130 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（ft） | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 14 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 170 |  | 0 | 120 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 200 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 40 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.993 |  |  | 0.986 |  |  | 0.959 |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.982 |  |  | 0.969 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1787 | 3551 | 0 | 1745 | 3350 | 0 | 0 | 1968 | 0 | 0 | 1964 | 1723 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.450 |  |  | 0.440 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.688 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 847 | 3551 | 0 | 808 | 3350 | 0 | 0 | 1704 | 0 | 0 | 1394 | 1723 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 8 |  |  | 19 |  |  | 23 |  |  |  | 186 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 624 |  |  | 466 |  |  | 420 |  |  | 346 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 12.2 |  |  | 9.1 |  |  | 11.5 |  |  | 7.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.75 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.42 | 0.90 | 0.58 | 0.67 | 0.25 | 0.54 | 0.46 | 0.42 | 0.70 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 1\％ | 1\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 3\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 120 | 538 | 27 | 24 | 489 | 52 | 45 | 40 | 37 | 43 | 24 | 186 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 120 | 565 | 0 | 24 | 541 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 186 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 15.0 |  | 5.0 | 15.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 21.6 |  | 9.0 | 21.6 |  | 12.1 | 12.1 |  | 12.1 | 12.1 | 12.1 |
| Total Split（s） | 9.0 | 53.9 |  | 9.0 | 53.9 |  | 27.1 | 27.1 |  | 27.1 | 27.1 | 27.1 |
| Total Split（\％） | 10．0\％ | 59．9\％ |  | 10．0\％ | 59．9\％ |  | 30．1\％ | 30．1\％ |  | 30．1\％ | 30．1\％ | 30．1\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 4.4 |  | 3.0 | 4.4 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 2.2 |  | 1.0 | 2.2 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 6.6 |  | 4.0 | 6.6 |  |  | 5.1 |  |  | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Min |  | None | C－Min |  | None | None |  | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 66.7 | 58.0 |  | 66.4 | 58.0 |  |  | 10.2 |  |  | 10.2 | 10.2 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.74 | 0.64 |  | 0.74 | 0.64 |  |  | 0.11 |  |  | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.17 | 0.25 |  | 0.04 | 0.25 |  |  | 0.58 |  |  | 0.43 | 0.52 |
| Control Delay | 3.3 | 7.5 |  | 2.9 | 7.4 |  |  | 40.9 |  |  | 44.7 | 11.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 3.3 | 7.5 |  | 2.9 | 7.4 |  |  | 40.9 |  |  | 44.7 | 11.0 |
| LOS | A | A |  | A | A |  |  | D |  |  | D | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 6.8 |  |  | 7.2 |  |  | 40.9 |  |  | 19.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |

Route 20 Corridor Study
Synchro 11 Report
Tighe \＆Bond
Lanes，Volumes，Timings


106: Route 75 \& Route 140 (Elm Street)
2050 Future Conditions - Optimized Weekday PM peak

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Route 20 Corridor Study
Synchro 11 Report
Tighe \& Bond

106: Route 75 \& Route 140 (Elm Street)
2050 Future Conditions - Optimized Weekday PM peak



201: Old County Road \& Route 140 (Elm Street) 2050 Future Conditions - Optimized Weekday PM peak

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 7.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\mathbf{\uparrow}$ ( | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{r}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 210 | 300 | 60 | 150 | 210 | 60 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 210 | 300 | 60 | 150 | 210 | 60 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | 50 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 91 | 66 | 72 | 89 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 223 | 330 | 91 | 208 | 236 | 71 |



202: Old County Road \& Halfway House Road 2050 Future Conditions - Optimized Weekday PM peak

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 24.9 |
| Intersection LOS | C |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 80 | 20 | 140 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 110 | 290 | 10 | 10 | 300 | 60 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 80 | 20 | 140 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 110 | 290 | 10 | 10 | 300 | 60 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 | 0.37 | 0.86 | 0.69 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.88 | 0.97 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.87 | 0.64 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 93 | 54 | 163 | 29 | 47 | 20 | 125 | 299 | 20 | 20 | 345 | 94 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 18.1 |  |  | 12.7 |  |  | 28.8 |  |  | 28.3 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $27 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $71 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $2 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 410 | 240 | 50 | 370 |
| LT Vol | 110 | 80 | 20 | 10 |
| Through Vol | 290 | 20 | 20 | 300 |
| RT Vol | 10 | 140 | 10 | 60 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 444 | 310 | 95 | 459 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.783 | 0.568 | 0.204 | 0.785 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.35 | 6.604 | 7.703 | 6.162 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 568 | 542 | 469 | 582 |
| Service Time | 4.431 | 4.687 | 5.703 | 4.242 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.782 | 0.572 | 0.203 | 0.789 |
| HCM Control Delay | 28.8 | 18.1 | 12.7 | 28.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | D | C | B | D |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 7.3 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 7.4 |


|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $4$ |  | \% |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 |  | ${ }_{1}$ | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 83 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 243 | 0 | 0 | 363 | 338 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 83 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 243 | 0 | 0 | 363 | 338 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 70 |  | 0 | 80 |  | 300 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 55 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.962 |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1878 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1662 | 3438 | 0 | 1717 | 3292 | 1346 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.962 |  |  |  |  | 0.508 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1878 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 889 | 3438 | 0 | 1717 | 3292 | 1346 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 360 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 35 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 394 |  |  | 120 |  |  | 257 |  |  | 652 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.7 |  |  | 3.3 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 12.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.71 | 0.92 | 0.60 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.85 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.87 | 0.94 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 9\% | 7\% | 0\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 5\% | 5\% | 7\% | 7\% | 6\% | 16\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 117 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 286 | 0 | 0 | 417 | 360 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 286 | 0 | 0 | 417 | 360 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA |  |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.2 | 24.2 |  |  |  |  | 20.4 | 20.4 |  | 20.4 | 20.4 | 20.4 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  | 45.0 | 45.0 |  | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 35.7\% | 35.7\% |  |  |  |  | 64.3\% | 64.3\% |  | 64.3\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.1 | 4.1 |  | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.2 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.2 |  |  |  |  | 5.1 | 5.1 |  | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  |  |  |  | C-Max | C-Max |  | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 10.6 |  |  |  |  | 52.6 | 52.6 |  |  | 52.6 | 52.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.15 |  |  |  |  | 0.75 | 0.75 |  |  | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.48 |  |  |  |  | 0.12 | 0.11 |  |  | 0.17 | 0.33 |
| Control Delay |  | 25.0 |  |  |  |  | 5.3 | 4.1 |  |  | 3.1 | 1.3 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 25.0 |  |  |  |  | 5.3 | 4.1 |  |  | 3.1 | 1.3 |
| LOS |  | C |  |  |  |  | A | A |  |  | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.3 |  |  | 2.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdots$ | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 | $\pm$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 48 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 15 |  |  | 19 | 2 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 83 |  |  |  |  | 33 | 40 |  |  | 35 | 15 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 314 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 177 |  |  | 572 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |  | 300 |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 554 |  |  |  |  | 667 | 2581 |  |  | 2472 | 1100 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.27 |  |  |  |  | 0.12 | 0.11 |  |  | 0.17 | 0.33 |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 0 ( $0 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:NBSB, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 45
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.48
Intersection Signal Delay: $5.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS: A

Intersection Capacity Utilization 43.7\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 101: Route 75 \& Route 20 EB Ramps/Private Driveway


|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | P |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | ＂ | \％ | 个个 |  |  | 个4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 10 | 545 | 40 | 296 | 0 | 0 | 661 | 110 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 10 | 545 | 40 | 296 | 0 | 0 | 661 | 110 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（ft） | 12 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 190 | 75 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 90 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.972 |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1662 | 1468 | 1662 | 3406 | 0 | 0 | 3144 | 1382 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.972 |  | 0.374 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1662 | 1468 | 654 | 3406 | 0 | 0 | 3144 | 1382 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  | 460 |  |  |  |  |  | 133 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 591 |  |  | 524 |  |  | 652 |  |  | 2293 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 13.4 |  |  | 11.9 |  |  | 12.7 |  |  | 44.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0.89 | 0.84 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.83 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 13\％ | 0\％ | 10\％ | 5\％ | 6\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 11\％ | 13\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 40 | 612 | 48 | 379 | 0 | 0 | 703 | 133 |
| Shared Lane Trafic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 612 | 48 | 379 | 0 | 0 | 703 | 133 |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Split | NA | Prot | Perm | NA |  |  | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） |  |  |  | 12.1 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 20.4 | 20.4 |  |  | 20.4 | 20.4 |
| Total Split（s） |  |  |  | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |  |  | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split（\％） |  |  |  | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ |  |  | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| All－Red Time（s） |  |  |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  |  |  |  | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.4 |  |  | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode |  |  |  | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max |  |  | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） |  |  |  |  | 14.8 | 14.8 | 44.7 | 44.7 |  |  | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.64 | 0.64 |  |  | 0.64 | 0.64 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.27 | 0.91 | 0.12 | 0.17 |  |  | 0.35 | 0.14 |
| Control Delay |  |  |  |  | 22.9 | 26.4 | 6.4 | 5.4 |  |  | 7.4 | 1.9 |
| Queue Delay |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  |  |  |  | 22.9 | 26.4 | 6.4 | 5.4 |  |  | 7.4 | 1.9 |
| LOS |  |  |  |  | C | C | A | A |  |  | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  |  | 25.9 |  |  | 5.5 |  |  | 6.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |

102: Route 75 \& Route 20 WB On Ramp/Route 20 WB Off Ramp 2050 Future with Development Weekday AM Peak

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  |  |  |  | 32 | 56 | 8 | 35 |  |  | 70 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  |  |  |  | 17 | \#238 | 19 | 43 |  |  | 115 | 16 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 511 |  |  | 444 |  |  | 572 |  |  | 2213 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  | 190 | 75 |  |  |  |  | 90 |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |  |  |  | 472 | 746 | 417 | 2175 |  |  | 2008 | 930 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.20 | 0.82 | 0.12 | 0.17 |  |  | 0.35 | 0.14 |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 1 (1\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBSB, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 40
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.91

```
Intersection Signal Delay: 13.3
Intersection LOS: B
```

Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.0\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 102: Route 75 \& Route 20 WB On Ramp/Route 20 WB Off Ramp


103: Route 75 \& LAZFly Driveway/Halfway House Road 2050 Future with Development Weekday AM Peak

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | 7 |  |  | $4$ | 9 | 7 | ( | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\$$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | * $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 1 | 14 | 75 | 2 | 20 | 15 | 740 | 76 | 31 | 522 | 4 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 1 | 14 | 75 | 2 | 20 | 15 | 740 | 76 | 31 | 522 | 4 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 415 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 50 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.870 |  |  | 0.970 |  |  | 0.983 |  |  | 0.999 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.963 |  |  | 0.999 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1812 | 0 | 0 | 1966 | 0 | 0 | 3290 | 0 | 1597 | 3280 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.827 |  |  | 0.941 |  | 0.271 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1807 | 0 | 0 | 1689 | 0 | 0 | 3099 | 0 | 456 | 3280 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 56 |  |  | 19 |  |  | 21 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 250 |  |  | 258 |  |  | 2293 |  |  | 1019 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.8 |  |  | 5.9 |  |  | 44.7 |  |  | 19.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.25 | 0.72 | 0.92 | 0.67 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.86 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 0\% | 2\% | 7\% | 3\% | 7\% | 8\% | 6\% | 13\% | 10\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1 | 1 | 56 | 104 | 2 | 30 | 16 | 841 | 109 | 41 | 607 | 4 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 966 | 0 | 41 | 611 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | D.P+P | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 12 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 9.5 |  | 9.5 | 9.5 |  | 21.5 | 21.5 |  | 9.0 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  | 9.0 |  |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 38.8\% | 38.8\% |  | 38.8\% | 38.8\% |  | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |  | 11.3\% |  |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |  | 3.0 |  |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 6.5 |  | 4.0 |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lag | Lag |  | Lead |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | C-Max | C-Max |  | None |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 9.9 |  |  | 9.9 |  |  | 53.6 |  | 59.2 | 61.6 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.12 |  |  | 0.12 |  |  | 0.67 |  | 0.74 | 0.77 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.21 |  |  | 0.60 |  |  | 0.46 |  | 0.10 | 0.24 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 11.0 |  |  | 38.8 |  |  | 8.5 |  | 2.2 | 1.9 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 11.0 |  |  | 38.8 |  |  | 8.5 |  | 2.2 | 1.9 |  |
| LOS |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | A |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 11.0 |  |  | 38.8 |  |  | 8.5 |  |  | 1.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |

103: Route 75 \& LAZFly Driveway/Halfway House Road 2050 Future with Development Weekday AM Peak

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\square$ | 1 | 4 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% | , | $\downarrow$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 1 |  |  | 56 |  |  | 118 |  | 1 | 5 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 31 |  |  | 104 |  |  | 192 |  | 2 | 10 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 170 |  |  | 178 |  |  | 2213 |  |  | 939 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 415 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 636 |  |  | 572 |  |  | 2084 |  | 409 | 2524 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.09 |  |  | 0.24 |  |  | 0.46 |  | 0.10 | 0.24 |  |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 80
Actuated Cycle Length: 80
Offset: 57 (71\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBSB, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 55
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.60
Intersection Signal Delay: $8.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS: A

Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.0\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 103: Route 75 \& LAZFly Driveway/Halfway House Road


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | 4 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | ( | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 93 | 12 | 90 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 210 | 540 | 10 | 20 | 447 | 115 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 93 | 12 | 90 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 210 | 540 | 10 | 20 | 447 | 115 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Storage Length ( ft ) | 0 |  | 220 | 200 |  | 150 | 450 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 400 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.902 |  |  | 0.994 |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | 0.968 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1417 | 1517 | 1311 | 1306 | 1433 | 0 | 1671 | 3217 | 0 | 1530 | 3223 | 1568 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | 0.968 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1417 | 1517 | 1311 | 1306 | 1433 | 0 | 1671 | 3217 | 0 | 1530 | 3223 | 1568 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 130 |  | 28 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 251 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 35 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 466 |  |  | 418 |  |  | 1019 |  |  | 1839 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 9.1 |  |  | 11.4 |  |  | 19.9 |  |  | 35.8 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.78 | 0.50 | 0.69 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.78 | 0.95 | 0.44 | 0.31 | 0.84 | 0.93 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 17\% | 0\% | 15\% | 29\% | 11\% | 12\% | 8\% | 12\% | 0\% | 18\% | 12\% | 3\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 119 | 24 | 130 | 20 | 15 | 28 | 269 | 568 | 23 | 65 | 532 | 124 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) | 40\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 71 | 72 | 130 | 20 | 43 | 0 | 269 | 591 | 0 | 65 | 532 | 124 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pt+ov | Split | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 8 | 8 | 18 | 4 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 | 18 | 4 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 15.0 |  | 5.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.7 | 12.7 |  | 9.8 | 9.8 |  | 10.1 | 20.8 |  | 9.0 | 20.6 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 22.0 | 22.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 18.0 | 30.0 |  | 18.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 27.5\% | 27.5\% |  | 12.5\% | 12.5\% |  | 22.5\% | 37.5\% |  | 22.5\% | 37.5\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.0 | 4.4 |  | 3.0 | 4.4 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.7 | 2.7 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 2.1 | 1.4 |  | 1.0 | 1.2 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.7 | 5.7 |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  | 5.1 | 5.8 |  | 4.0 | 5.6 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C-Min |  | None | C-Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 9.1 | 9.1 | 30.9 | 5.6 | 5.6 |  | 18.6 | 46.7 |  | 7.7 | 31.9 | 80.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.39 | 0.07 | 0.07 |  | 0.23 | 0.58 |  | 0.10 | 0.40 | 1.00 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.44 | 0.42 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.34 |  | 0.69 | 0.31 |  | 0.44 | 0.41 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 41.2 | 39.9 | 3.7 | 41.0 | 26.6 |  | 31.4 | 12.9 |  | 39.5 | 25.3 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 41.2 | 39.9 | 3.7 | 41.0 | 26.6 |  | 31.4 | 12.9 |  | 39.5 | 25.3 | 0.1 |
| LOS | D | D | A | D | C |  | C | B |  | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 23.0 |  |  | 31.2 |  |  | 18.7 |  |  | 22.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |

104: Route 75 \& Route 401 (Schoephoester Road)/National Road 2050 Future with Development Weekday AM Peak

|  | 4 |  | \% | 7 | 4 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | - | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 35 | 35 | 0 | 10 | 7 |  | 115 | 114 |  | 32 | 128 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 63 | 40 | 13 | 17 | 29 |  | \#181 | 206 |  | 23 | 155 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 386 |  |  | 338 |  |  | 939 |  |  | 1759 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  | 220 | 200 |  |  | 450 |  |  |  |  | 400 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 288 | 309 | 581 | 92 | 128 |  | 388 | 1880 |  | 267 | 1319 | 1568 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.34 |  | 0.69 | 0.31 |  | 0.24 | 0.40 | 0.08 |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 80

## Actuated Cycle Length: 80

Offset: 12 (15\%), Referenced to phase 2:SBT and 6:NBT, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

## Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69

```
Intersection Signal Delay: 21.1 Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 47.4% ICU Level of Service A
```

Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 104: Route 75 \& Route 401 (Schoephoester Road)/National Road


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 | $V$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 晀 |  | ${ }_{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | \＄ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 60 | 175 | 20 | 10 | 306 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 70 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 60 | 175 | 20 | 10 | 306 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 70 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（ft） | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 14 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 170 |  | 0 | 120 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 200 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 40 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.984 |  |  | 0.988 |  |  | 0.939 |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.973 |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1805 | 3459 | 0 | 1631 | 3347 | 0 | 0 | 1660 | 0 | 0 | 1735 | 1706 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.528 |  |  | 0.580 |  |  |  | 0.821 |  |  | 0.728 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1003 | 3459 | 0 | 996 | 3347 | 0 | 0 | 1401 | 0 | 0 | 1329 | 1706 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 21 |  |  | 15 |  |  | 92 |  |  |  | 106 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 624 |  |  | 466 |  |  | 420 |  |  | 346 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 12.2 |  |  | 9.1 |  |  | 11.5 |  |  | 7.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.69 | 0.50 | 0.88 | 0.67 | 0.83 | 0.92 | 0.50 | 0.63 | 0.92 | 0.66 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 0\％ | 3\％ | 0\％ | 7\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 0\％ | 100\％ | 33\％ | 11\％ | 7\％ | 1\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 86 | 250 | 29 | 20 | 348 | 30 | 24 | 0 | 20 | 16 | 0 | 106 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 86 | 279 | 0 | 20 | 378 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 106 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 15.0 |  | 5.0 | 15.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 21.6 |  | 9.0 | 21.6 |  | 12.1 | 12.1 |  | 12.1 | 12.1 | 12.1 |
| Total Split（s） | 9.0 | 53.9 |  | 9.0 | 53.9 |  | 27.1 | 27.1 |  | 27.1 | 27.1 | 27.1 |
| Total Split（\％） | 10．0\％ | 59．9\％ |  | 10．0\％ | 59．9\％ |  | 30．1\％ | 30．1\％ |  | 30．1\％ | 30．1\％ | 30．1\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 4.4 |  | 3.0 | 4.4 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 2.2 |  | 1.0 | 2.2 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 6.6 |  | 4.0 | 6.6 |  |  | 5.1 |  |  | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Min |  | None | C－Min |  | None | None |  | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 72.7 | 66.8 |  | 72.5 | 66.8 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.81 | 0.74 |  | 0.81 | 0.74 |  |  | 0.08 |  |  | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.11 |  | 0.02 | 0.15 |  |  | 0.22 |  |  | 0.15 | 0.45 |
| Control Delay | 2.0 | 4.5 |  | 1.8 | 4.8 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 41.0 | 14.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 2.0 | 4.5 |  | 1.8 | 4.8 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 41.0 | 14.4 |
| LOS | A | A |  | A | A |  |  | A |  |  | D | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 3.9 |  |  | 4.6 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 17.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |


|  | 4 |  | \% | 7 | 4 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\pm$ | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 6 | 22 |  | 1 | 33 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 9 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 12 | 30 |  | 3 | 54 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 28 | 19 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 544 |  |  | 386 |  |  | 340 |  |  | 266 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 170 |  |  | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 859 | 2572 |  | 841 | 2487 |  |  | 411 |  |  | 324 | 497 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.11 |  | 0.02 | 0.15 |  |  | 0.11 |  |  | 0.05 | 0.21 |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:WBTL and 6:EBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 45
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.45

| Intersection Signal Delay: 6.0 | Intersection LOS: A |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $38.2 \%$ | ICU Level of Service A |

Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 105: Airport Servuce Road/Light Lane \& Route 401 (Schoephoester Road)


106: Route 75 \& Route 140 (Elm Street)
2050 Future with Development Weekday AM Peak

|  | $\%$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | F | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | * | 中4 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 104 | 259 | 536 | 74 | 264 | 474 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 104 | 259 | 536 | 74 | 264 | 474 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 11 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 | 400 |  | 0 | 675 |  |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  |  | 35 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.850 | 0.981 |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1711 | 1459 | 3245 | 0 | 1589 | 3202 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.355 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1711 | 1459 | 3245 | 0 | 594 | 3202 |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 154 | 24 |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 40 |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 300 |  | 1839 |  |  | 990 |
| Travel Time (s) | 5.1 |  | 35.8 |  |  | 19.3 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.80 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.84 | 0.94 | 0.89 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 7\% | 10\% | 3\% | 6\% | 9\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 130 | 298 | 616 | 88 | 281 | 533 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 130 | 298 | 704 | 0 | 281 | 533 |
| Turn Type | Prot | pt+ov | NA |  | D.P+P | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 14 | 2 |  | 1 | 12 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 9.0 |  | 15.0 |  | 5.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 13.0 |  | 20.9 |  | 9.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 |  | 39.0 |  | 16.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 31.3\% |  | 48.8\% |  | 20.0\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  | 4.4 |  | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 |  | 5.9 |  | 4.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lag |  | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  | C-Max |  | None |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 13.2 | 25.8 | 44.3 |  | 54.8 | 58.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.55 |  | 0.68 | 0.74 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.46 | 0.52 | 0.39 |  | 0.55 | 0.23 |
| Control Delay | 34.6 | 12.3 | 6.3 |  | 8.5 | 4.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 34.6 | 12.3 | 6.3 |  | 8.5 | 4.1 |
| LOS | C | B | A |  | A | A |
| Approach Delay | 19.1 |  | 6.3 |  |  | 5.6 |
| Approach LOS | B |  | A |  |  | A |

106: Route 75 \& Route 140 (Elm Street)
2050 Future with Development Weekday AM Peak


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\mathbf{- 1}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 115 | 203 | 63 | 147 | 196 | 42 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 115 | 203 | 63 | 147 | 196 | 42 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | 50 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 92 | 66 | 74 | 87 | 58 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 10 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 7 |
| Mvmt Flow | 122 | 221 | 95 | 199 | 225 | 72 |



202: Old County Road \& Halfway House Road 2050 Future with Development Weekday AM Peak

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 20.5 |
| Intersection LOS | C |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 40 | 26 | 109 | 11 | 30 | 13 | 96 | 225 | 1 | 11 | 255 | 60 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 40 | 26 | 109 | 11 | 30 | 13 | 96 | 225 | 1 | 11 | 255 | 60 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.62 | 0.25 | 0.79 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.25 | 0.74 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.25 | 0.84 | 0.86 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 5 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 50 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 65 | 104 | 138 | 22 | 52 | 52 | 130 | 262 | 1 | 44 | 304 | 70 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 17.3 |  |  | 13 |  |  | 22.7 |  |  | 22.9 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $30 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $70 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 322 | 175 | 54 | 326 |
| LT Vol | 96 | 40 | 11 | 11 |
| Through Vol | 225 | 26 | 30 | 255 |
| RT Vol | 1 | 109 | 13 | 60 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 392 | 306 | 126 | 417 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.694 | 0.551 | 0.256 | 0.71 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.362 | 6.476 | 7.321 | 6.125 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 565 | 555 | 487 | 588 |
| Service Time | 4.433 | 4.553 | 5.419 | 4.194 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.694 | 0.551 | 0.259 | 0.709 |
| HCM Control Delay | 22.7 | 17.3 | 13 | 22.9 |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | C | B | C |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 5.4 | 3.3 | 1 | 5.8 |


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  | $4$ | 4 | $p$ | $\pm$ |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 中4 | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 142 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 474 | 0 | 0 | 424 | 450 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 142 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 474 | 0 | 0 | 424 | 450 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 70 |  | 0 | 80 |  | 300 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 55 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.963 |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1951 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1694 | 3505 | 0 | 1717 | 3421 | 1473 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.963 |  |  |  |  | 0.482 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1951 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 859 | 3505 | 0 | 1717 | 3421 | 1473 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 608 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 35 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 394 |  |  | 120 |  |  | 257 |  |  | 652 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.7 |  |  | 3.3 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 12.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.80 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.82 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.74 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 3\% | 3\% | 7\% | 7\% | 2\% | 6\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 178 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 494 | 0 | 0 | 471 | 608 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 494 | 0 | 0 | 471 | 608 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA |  |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.2 | 24.2 |  |  |  |  | 20.4 | 20.4 |  | 20.4 | 20.4 | 20.4 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  | 45.0 | 45.0 |  | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 35.7\% | 35.7\% |  |  |  |  | 64.3\% | 64.3\% |  | 64.3\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.1 | 4.1 |  | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.2 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.2 |  |  |  |  | 5.1 | 5.1 |  | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  |  |  |  | C-Max | C-Max |  | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 11.7 |  |  |  |  | 48.0 | 48.0 |  |  | 48.0 | 48.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.17 |  |  |  |  | 0.69 | 0.69 |  |  | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.65 |  |  |  |  | 0.14 | 0.21 |  |  | 0.20 | 0.51 |
| Control Delay |  | 31.3 |  |  |  |  | 5.6 | 4.8 |  |  | 8.9 | 9.6 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 31.3 |  |  |  |  | 5.6 | 4.8 |  |  | 8.9 | 9.6 |
| LOS |  | C |  |  |  |  | A | A |  |  | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 31.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 9.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBSB, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 50
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.65
Intersection Signal Delay: $10.6 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B

Intersection Capacity Utilization 48.9\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 101: Route 75 \& Route 20 EB Ramps/Private Driveway


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 |  |  | 个4 | F |
| Trafic Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 698 | 30 | 576 | 0 | 0 | 814 | 135 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 698 | 30 | 576 | 0 | 0 | 814 | 135 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（ft） | 12 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 190 | 75 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 90 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| FIt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1694 | 1509 | 1711 | 3505 | 0 | 0 | 3355 | 1487 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  | 0.206 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1694 | 1509 | 371 | 3505 | 0 | 0 | 3355 | 1487 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |  |  |  |  |  | 155 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 591 |  |  | 524 |  |  | 652 |  |  | 2293 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 13.4 |  |  | 11.9 |  |  | 12.7 |  |  | 44.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.76 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.84 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.68 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 3\％ | 0\％ | 7\％ | 2\％ | 3\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 4\％ | 5\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 735 | 36 | 600 | 0 | 0 | 848 | 199 |
| Shared Lane Trafic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 735 | 36 | 600 | 0 | 0 | 848 | 199 |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Split | NA | Prot | Perm | NA |  |  | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） |  |  |  | 12.1 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 20.4 | 20.4 |  |  | 20.4 | 20.4 |
| Total Split（s） |  |  |  | 42.2 | 42.2 | 42.2 | 27.8 | 27.8 |  |  | 27.8 | 27.8 |
| Total Split（\％） |  |  |  | 60．3\％ | 60．3\％ | 60．3\％ | 39．7\％ | 39．7\％ |  |  | 39．7\％ | 39．7\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| All－Red Time（s） |  |  |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  |  |  |  | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.4 |  |  | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode |  |  |  | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max |  |  | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） |  |  |  |  | 34.7 | 34.7 | 24.8 | 24.8 |  |  | 24.8 | 24.8 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.35 | 0.35 |  |  | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| v／c Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.09 | 0.93 | 0.27 | 0.48 |  |  | 0.71 | 0.32 |
| Control Delay |  |  |  |  | 8.7 | 33.9 | 23.8 | 19.5 |  |  | 24.7 | 7.0 |
| Queue Delay |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  |  |  |  | 8.7 | 33.9 | 23.8 | 19.5 |  |  | 24.7 | 7.0 |
| LOS |  |  |  |  | A | C | C | B |  |  | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  |  | 31.5 |  |  | 19.7 |  |  | 21.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |

102: Route 75 \& Route 20 WB On Ramp/Route 20 WB Off Ramp 2050 Future with Development Weekday PM Peak

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 7 | $\downarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\ddagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  |  |  |  | 16 | 228 | 13 | 121 |  |  | 172 | 13 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  |  |  |  | 34 | \#468 | 37 | 171 |  |  | 240 | 29 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 511 |  |  | 444 |  |  | 572 |  |  | 2213 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  | 190 | 75 |  |  |  |  | 90 |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |  |  |  | 897 | 842 | 131 | 1241 |  |  | 1188 | 627 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.09 | 0.87 | 0.27 | 0.48 |  |  | 0.71 | 0.32 |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 1 (1\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBSB, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Intersection Signal Delay: } 24.2 & \text { Intersection LOS: C } \\ \text { Intersection Capacity Utilization 67.9\% } & \text { ICU Level of Service C }\end{array}$
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 102: Route 75 \& Route 20 WB On Ramp/Route 20 WB Off Ramp


103: Route 75 \& LAZFly Driveway/Halfway House Road 2050 Future with Development Weekday PM Peak

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 4 |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $V$ | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | * ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 中t |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 14 | 12 | 24 | 119 | 10 | 31 | 14 | 937 | 143 | 31 | 706 | 11 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 14 | 12 | 24 | 119 | 10 | 31 | 14 | 937 | 143 | 31 | 706 | 11 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 415 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 50 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.931 |  |  | 0.975 |  |  | 0.980 |  |  | 0.996 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.987 |  |  | 0.969 |  |  | 0.998 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1920 | 0 | 0 | 1983 | 0 | 0 | 3357 | 0 | 1752 | 3446 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.886 |  |  | 0.684 |  |  | 0.864 |  | 0.167 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1724 | 0 | 0 | 1400 | 0 | 0 | 2906 | 0 | 308 | 3446 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 71 |  |  | 15 |  |  | 27 |  |  | 5 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 250 |  |  | 258 |  |  | 2293 |  |  | 1019 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.8 |  |  | 5.9 |  |  | 44.7 |  |  | 19.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.80 | 0.25 | 0.73 | 0.25 | 0.93 | 0.86 | 0.91 | 0.86 | 0.50 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 2\% | 3\% | 4\% | 17\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 37 | 32 | 73 | 149 | 40 | 42 | 56 | 1008 | 166 | 34 | 821 | 22 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 231 | 0 | 0 | 1230 | 0 | 34 | 843 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | D.P+P | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 12 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 9.5 |  | 9.5 | 9.5 |  | 21.5 | 21.5 |  | 9.0 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  | 9.0 |  |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 38.8\% | 38.8\% |  | 38.8\% | 38.8\% |  | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |  | 11.3\% |  |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |  | 3.0 |  |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 6.5 |  | 4.0 |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lag | Lag |  | Lead |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | C-Max | C-Max |  | None |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 15.5 |  |  | 15.5 |  |  | 48.0 |  | 53.6 | 56.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.19 |  |  | 0.19 |  |  | 0.60 |  | 0.67 | 0.70 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.36 |  |  | 0.81 |  |  | 0.70 |  | 0.11 | 0.35 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 16.1 |  |  | 49.9 |  |  | 16.5 |  | 3.2 | 2.6 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 16.1 |  |  | 49.9 |  |  | 16.5 |  | 3.2 | 2.6 |  |
| LOS |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | B |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 16.1 |  |  | 49.9 |  |  | 16.5 |  |  | 2.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |

103: Route 75 \& LAZFly Driveway/Halfway House Road 2050 Future with Development Weekday PM Peak

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\square$ | 7 | 4 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\pm$ | $\downarrow$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 30 |  |  | 104 |  |  | 225 |  | 1 | 16 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 12 |  |  | 32 |  |  | \#427 |  | m3 | 26 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 170 |  |  | 178 |  |  | 2213 |  |  | 939 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 415 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 618 |  |  | 473 |  |  | 1755 |  | 297 | 2412 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.23 |  |  | 0.49 |  |  | 0.70 |  | 0.11 | 0.35 |  |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 80

## Actuated Cycle Length: 80

Offset: 57 (71\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBSB, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 65
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.81
Intersection Signal Delay: $14.7 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.4\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 103: Route 75 \& LAZFly Driveway/Halfway House Road


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 7 | － | 4 | 4 | 9 | \％ | （ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | T | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 254 | 23 | 200 | 10 | 23 | 26 | 310 | 640 | 20 | 26 | 557 | 153 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 254 | 23 | 200 | 10 | 23 | 26 | 310 | 640 | 20 | 26 | 557 | 153 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（ft） | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 |  | 220 | 200 |  | 150 | 450 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 400 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.928 |  |  | 0.994 |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | 0.961 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1609 | 1637 | 1409 | 1532 | 1646 | 0 | 1703 | 3327 | 0 | 1805 | 3438 | 1568 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | 0.961 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1609 | 1637 | 1409 | 1532 | 1646 | 0 | 1703 | 3327 | 0 | 1805 | 3438 | 1568 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 213 |  | 37 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 251 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 35 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 466 |  |  | 418 |  |  | 1019 |  |  | 1839 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 9.1 |  |  | 11.4 |  |  | 19.9 |  |  | 35.8 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 | 0.69 | 0.78 | 0.88 | 0.58 | 0.71 | 0.88 | 0.96 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.89 | 0.74 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 3\％ | 0\％ | 7\％ | 10\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 6\％ | 8\％ | 4\％ | 0\％ | 5\％ | 3\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 295 | 33 | 256 | 11 | 40 | 37 | 352 | 667 | 27 | 52 | 626 | 207 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） | 45\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 162 | 166 | 256 | 11 | 77 | 0 | 352 | 694 | 0 | 52 | 626 | 207 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pt＋ov | Split | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 8 | 8 | 18 | 4 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 | 18 | 4 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 15.0 |  | 5.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 12.7 | 12.7 |  | 9.8 | 9.8 |  | 10.1 | 20.8 |  | 9.0 | 20.6 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 22.0 | 22.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 18.0 | 30.0 |  | 18.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 27．5\％ | 27．5\％ |  | 12．5\％ | 12．5\％ |  | 22．5\％ | 37．5\％ |  | 22．5\％ | 37．5\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.0 | 4.4 |  | 3.0 | 4.4 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.7 | 2.7 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 2.1 | 1.4 |  | 1.0 | 1.2 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.7 | 5.7 |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  | 5.1 | 5.8 |  | 4.0 | 5.6 |  |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C－Min |  | None | C－Min |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 12.3 | 12.3 | 39.8 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 21.8 | 40.4 |  | 6.7 | 20.6 | 80.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.50 | 0.08 | 0.08 |  | 0.27 | 0.50 |  | 0.08 | 0.26 | 1.00 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.32 | 0.10 | 0.49 |  | 0.76 | 0.41 |  | 0.35 | 0.71 | 0.13 |
| Control Delay | 44.3 | 44.4 | 4.6 | 36.4 | 32.9 |  | 36.2 | 12.0 |  | 37.3 | 36.4 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 44.3 | 44.4 | 4.6 | 36.4 | 32.9 |  | 36.2 | 12.0 |  | 37.3 | 36.4 | 0.2 |
| LOS | D | D | A | D | C |  | D | B |  | D | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 26.9 |  |  | 33.4 |  |  | 20.2 |  |  | 28.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |

104: Route 75 \& Route 401 (Schoephoester Road)/National Road 2050 Future with Development Weekday PM Peak

|  | 4 |  | \% | 7 | 4 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | , | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 81 | 83 | 11 | 5 | 19 |  | 126 | 154 |  | 26 | 161 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 130 | 103 | 37 | 21 | 32 |  | \#368 | 247 |  | 32 | 200 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 386 |  |  | 338 |  |  | 939 |  |  | 1759 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  | 220 | 200 |  |  | 450 |  |  |  |  | 400 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 327 | 333 | 793 | 116 | 158 |  | 464 | 1681 |  | 315 | 1048 | 1568 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.32 | 0.09 | 0.49 |  | 0.76 | 0.41 |  | 0.17 | 0.60 | 0.13 |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 80

## Actuated Cycle Length: 80

Offset: 12 (15\%), Referenced to phase 2:SBT and 6:NBT, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76

| Intersection Signal Delay: 24.8 | Intersection LOS: C |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 60.5\% | ICU Level of Service B |

Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 104: Route 75 \& Route 401 (Schoephoester Road)/National Road


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 中t |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 90 | 437 | 20 | 10 | 446 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 130 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 90 | 437 | 20 | 10 | 446 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 130 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 14 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 170 |  | 0 | 120 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 200 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length ( t ) | 40 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.993 |  |  | 0.986 |  |  | 0.959 |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| FIt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.982 |  |  | 0.969 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1787 | 3551 | 0 | 1745 | 3350 | 0 | 0 | 1968 | 0 | 0 | 1964 | 1723 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.447 |  |  | 0.436 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.688 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 841 | 3551 | 0 | 801 | 3350 | 0 | 0 | 1704 | 0 | 0 | 1394 | 1723 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 8 |  |  | 19 |  |  | 23 |  |  |  | 186 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance ( t ) |  | 624 |  |  | 466 |  |  | 420 |  |  | 346 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 12.2 |  |  | 9.1 |  |  | 11.5 |  |  | 7.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.75 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.42 | 0.90 | 0.58 | 0.67 | 0.25 | 0.54 | 0.46 | 0.42 | 0.70 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 120 | 546 | 27 | 24 | 496 | 52 | 45 | 40 | 37 | 43 | 24 | 186 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 120 | 573 | 0 | 24 | 548 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 186 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | , |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 15.0 |  | 5.0 | 15.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 21.6 |  | 9.0 | 21.6 |  | 12.1 | 12.1 |  | 12.1 | 12.1 | 12.1 |
| Total Split (s) | 9.0 | 53.9 |  | 9.0 | 53.9 |  | 27.1 | 27.1 |  | 27.1 | 27.1 | 27.1 |
| Total Split (\%) | 10.0\% | 59.9\% |  | 10.0\% | 59.9\% |  | 30.1\% | 30.1\% |  | 30.1\% | 30.1\% | 30.1\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.4 |  | 3.0 | 4.4 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.2 |  | 1.0 | 2.2 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 6.6 |  | 4.0 | 6.6 |  |  | 5.1 |  |  | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Min |  | None | C-Min |  | None | None |  | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 66.7 | 58.0 |  | 66.4 | 58.0 |  |  | 10.2 |  |  | 10.2 | 10.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.74 | 0.64 |  | 0.74 | 0.64 |  |  | 0.11 |  |  | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.17 | 0.25 |  | 0.04 | 0.25 |  |  | 0.58 |  |  | 0.43 | 0.52 |
| Control Delay | 3.3 | 7.5 |  | 2.9 | 7.4 |  |  | 40.9 |  |  | 44.7 | 11.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 3.3 | 7.5 |  | 2.9 | 7.4 |  |  | 40.9 |  |  | 44.7 | 11.0 |
| LOS | A | A |  | A | A |  |  | D |  |  | D | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 6.8 |  |  | 7.2 |  |  | 40.9 |  |  | 19.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | \% | $\pm$ | $\ddagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 12 | 62 |  | 2 | 58 |  |  | 54 |  |  | 36 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 24 | 93 |  | 4 | 102 |  |  | 18 |  |  | 32 | 22 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 544 |  |  | 386 |  |  | 340 |  |  | 266 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 170 |  |  | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 688 | 2289 |  | 653 | 2164 |  |  | 433 |  |  | 340 | 561 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.17 | 0.25 |  | 0.04 | 0.25 |  |  | 0.28 |  |  | 0.20 | 0.33 |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:WBTL and 6:EBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 45
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.58
Intersection Signal Delay: 11.5 Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.4\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 105: Airport Servuce Road/Light Lane \& Route 401 (Schoephoester Road)


106: Route 75 \& Route 140 (Elm Street)
2050 Future with Development Weekday PM Peak

|  | $\checkmark$ | $4$ |  |  | - | $\frac{1}{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 | 中 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 156 | 236 | 714 | 206 | 349 | 613 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 156 | 236 | 714 | 206 | 349 | 613 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 11 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 | 400 |  | 0 | 675 |  |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  |  | 35 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.850 | 0.961 |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1745 | 1473 | 3345 | 0 | 1620 | 3355 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.124 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1745 | 1473 | 3345 | 0 | 211 | 3355 |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 75 | 74 |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 40 |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 300 |  | 1839 |  |  | 990 |
| Travel Time (s) | 5.1 |  | 35.8 |  |  | 19.3 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.86 | 0.71 | 0.87 | 0.91 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 6\% | 5\% | 0\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 175 | 265 | 830 | 290 | 401 | 674 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 175 | 265 | 1120 | 0 | 401 | 674 |
| Turn Type | Prot | pt+ov | NA |  | D.P+P | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 14 | 2 |  | 1 | 12 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 9.0 |  | 15.0 |  | 5.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 13.0 |  | 20.9 |  | 9.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 |  | 39.0 |  | 16.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 31.3\% |  | 48.8\% |  | 20.0\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  | 4.4 |  | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 |  | 5.9 |  | 4.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lag |  | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  | C-Max |  | None |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 13.8 | 37.0 | 33.1 |  | 54.2 | 58.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.17 | 0.46 | 0.41 |  | 0.68 | 0.73 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.58 | 0.37 | 0.78 |  | 0.83 | 0.28 |
| Control Delay | 37.7 | 11.5 | 20.9 |  | 37.3 | 4.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 37.7 | 11.5 | 20.9 |  | 37.3 | 4.5 |
| LOS | D | B | C |  | D | A |
| Approach Delay | 21.9 |  | 20.9 |  |  | 16.7 |
| Approach LOS | C |  | C |  |  | B |

## Route 20 Corridor Study

Synchro 11 Report
Tighe \& Bond



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{- 1}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 219 | 306 | 64 | 158 | 214 | 65 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 219 | 306 | 64 | 158 | 214 | 65 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | 50 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 91 | 66 | 72 | 89 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 233 | 336 | 97 | 219 | 240 | 77 |



202: Old County Road \& Halfway House Road 2050 Future with Development Weekday PM Peak

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 60.5 |
| Intersection LOS | F |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 80 | 49 | 149 | 21 | 48 | 11 | 121 | 298 | 11 | 13 | 307 | 60 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 80 | 49 | 149 | 21 | 48 | 11 | 121 | 298 | 11 | 13 | 307 | 60 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 | 0.37 | 0.86 | 0.69 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.88 | 0.97 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.87 | 0.64 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 93 | 132 | 173 | 30 | 112 | 22 | 138 | 307 | 22 | 26 | 353 | 94 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 45 |  |  | 19.2 |  |  | 75.9 |  |  | 72.7 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | E |  |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $28 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $69 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $3 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 430 | 278 | 80 | 380 |
| LT Vol | 121 | 80 | 21 | 13 |
| Through Vol | 298 | 49 | 48 | 307 |
| RT Vol | 11 | 149 | 11 | 60 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 467 | 399 | 164 | 473 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 1.018 | 0.87 | 0.421 | 1.009 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 8.035 | 8.026 | 9.481 | 7.865 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 453 | 455 | 382 | 463 |
| Service Time | 6.035 | 6.026 | 7.481 | 5.865 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 1.031 | 0.877 | 0.429 | 1.022 |
| HCM Control Delay | 75.9 | 45 | 19.2 | 72.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | E | C | F |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 13.6 | 9 | 2 | 13.4 |

## APPENDIX B <br> Potential Development Site Generated Traffic Summary Tables

TABLE B-1
Total Potential Development Site-Generated Traffic Summary

| Proposed Retail Trips <br> Peak Hour Period | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday Morning | 58 | 42 | 100 |
| Weekday Afternoon | 85 | 84 | 189 |
| Proposed Residential/Warehouse/Manufacturing/Industrial Trips <br> Peak Hour Period <br> Enter | 107 | 82 | Total |
| Weekday Morning | 88 | 118 | 189 |
| Weekday Afternoon | $\mathbf{E x i t}$ | 206 |  |
| Total Vehicular Trips |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Period | $\mathbf{1 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ | Total |
| Weekday Morning | $\mathbf{2 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 9}$ |  |
| Weekday Afternoon | $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 5}$ |  |

Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation, 11th Edition, 2021
Land Use - 130 Industrial Park
Land Use - 140 Manufacturing
Land Use - 150 Warehousing
Land Use - 220 Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)
Land Use - 215 Single Family Attached Housing
Land Use - 254 Assisted Living
Land Use - 312 Business Hotel
Land Use - 822 Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)
Land Use - 937 Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window

SITE 2, I \& J
Site-Generated Traffic Summary - Residential

| Proposed - 55 Apartments <br> Peak Hour Period | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday Morning | 5 | 17 | 22 |
| Weekday Afternoon | 18 | 10 | 28 |

Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation, 11th Edition, 2021 Land Use - 220 Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)

SITE 2, I \& J
Site-Generated Traffic Summary - Retail

| Proposed - 25,000 SF Retail Space |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Hour Period | Enter | Exit | Total |
| Weekday Morning | 35 | 24 | 59 |
| Weekday Afternoon | 83 | 82 | 165 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Pass-by Trips } \\ & \text { Peak Hour Period } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Enter | $\begin{gathered} \text { 30\% } \\ \text { Exit } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| Weekday Morning |  | ass-by |  |
| Weekday Afternoon | 25 | 25 | 50 |
| Net Vehicular Trips Peak Hour Period | Enter | Exit | Total |
| Weekday Morning | 35 | 24 | 59 |
| Weekday Afternoon | 58 | 57 | 115 |

Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation, 11th Edition, 2021
Land Use - 822 Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)

SITE 4
Site-Generated Traffic Summary

| Proposed - 2,000 SF Coffee Shop <br> Peak Hour Period | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday Morning | 88 | 84 | 172 |
| Weekday Afternoon | 39 | 39 | 78 |
|  | Enter | $90 \%$ |  |
| Pass-by Trips <br> Peak Hour Period | 79 | 76 | Exit |
| Weekday Morning <br> Weekday Afternoon | 35 | 35 | 155 |
| Net Vehicular Trips <br> Peak Hour Period | 9 | 8 | 70 |
| Weekday Morning | 4 | Exit |  |
| Weekday Afternoon | 4 | 17 |  |

Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation, 11th Edition, 2021
Land Use - 937 Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window

SITE A
Site-Generated Traffic Summary

| Proposed - 50,000 SF Warehouse |  | Exit | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Hour Period | Enter | 7 | 2 |
| Weekday Morning | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| Weekday Afternoon |  |  | 9 |

Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation, 11th Edition, 2021
Land Use - 150 Warehousing

SITE B \& C
Site-Generated Traffic Summary

| Proposed - 100,000 SF Manufacturing <br> Peak Hour Period | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday Morning | 52 | 16 | 68 |
| Weekday Afternoon | 23 | 51 | 74 |

Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation, 11th Edition, 2021
Land Use - 140 Manufacturing

SITE D, E \& F
Site-Generated Traffic Summary - Residential

| Proposed - 35 Apartments <br> Peak Hour Period | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday Morning | 3 | 11 | 14 |
| Weekday Afternoon | 11 | 7 | 18 |

Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation, 11th Edition, 2021 Land Use - 220 Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)

SITE D, E \& F
Site-Generated Traffic Summary - Retail


Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation, 11th Edition, 2021 Land Use - 822 Strip Retail Plaza (<40k)

SITE G
Site-Generated Traffic Summary

| Proposed - 80-Room Hotel <br> Peak Hour Period | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday Morning | 11 | 18 | 29 |
| Weekday Afternoon | 14 | 11 | 25 |

Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation, 11th Edition, 2021 Land Use - 312 Business Hotel

SITE H
Site-Generated Traffic Summary

| Proposed - 75,000 SF Industrial Park <br> Peak Hour Period <br> Enter | Exit | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday Morning | 21 | 5 | 26 |
| Weekday Afternoon | 6 | 20 | 26 |

Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation, 11th Edition, 2021
Land Use - 130 Industrial Park

SITE K
Site-Generated Traffic Summary

| Proposed - 50-Bed Assisted Living <br> Peak Hour Period | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday Morning | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| Weekday Afternoon | 5 | 7 | 12 |

Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation, 11th Edition, 2021 Land Use - 254 Assisted Living

SITE L
Site-Generated Traffic Summary

| Proposed - 25 Townhouses <br> Peak Hour Period | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday Morning | 3 | 9 | 12 |
| Weekday Afternoon | 8 | 6 | 14 |

Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation, 11th Edition, 2021 Land Use - 215 Single Family Attached Housing

