

Addendum – Regional Warehousing Land Use and Traffic Study RFQ

1. Are firms involved in this process going to be conflicted out from representing industrial applicants or towns in the future?

That decision would be entirely in the purview of a firm's potential future private or municipal clients.

2. Task 2 has subtasks 2C and 2F- are there any missing tasks 2D or 2E?

There are no missing tasks. There was a minor version history/numbering issue in the original RFQ. Below are all the subtasks for Task 2

Task 2 – Stakeholder Engagement

- Task 2A – Stakeholder Engagement Plan
- Task 2B – Study Website
- Task 2C – Project Advisory Committee
- Task 2D – Stakeholder Coordination

3. As CRCOG has extensive GIS data and capabilities, is CRCOG providing land use and/or real estate data or should respondents propose outside data sources?

CRCOG has multiple geospatial datasets available for download [here](#). Statewide (including our latest) parcel and CAMA data can be found [here](#).

We do not currently have a subscription to real estate data resources such as CoStar, for example. The consultant would be expected to access such data within their project budget.